Lecture 12: Memory and References

Polyvios Pratikakis

Computer Science Department, University of Crete

Type Systems and Programming Languages



Pratikakis (CSD)

Memory and References

CS546, 2024-2025

So far

- Pure lambda calculus
- Simply typed lambda calculus
- Additional types: sums, products, lists, tuples, variants, etc.
- Pure language features:
 - The machine state is a program expression
 - ► The semantics rewrite the program expresssion/machine state
 - Program evaluation reduces the program expression to a result
- Pure features form the backbone of most languages



Impure features

Impure languages

- The machine state is not just the program expression
- Program evaluation does not just produce a result,
- …it also changes the machine state
- Most languages also include impure features
 - Mutable state: memory locations, arrays, mutable record fields, etc.
 - ► I/O: network, display, etc.
 - Exceptions, signals, interrupts
 - Inter-process communication
 - ..
- Computation has "side-effects": computational effects



Memory effects

- Support for assignment, a way to alter memory contents
- Variable names remain immutable
 - In C, a variable name can mean two things
 - ★ At the left side of an assignment: a memory location
 - $\star\,$ At the right side of an assignment: the contents of a memory location
 - Keep variables immutable: a variable name always means the same
 - Use explicit syntax to read from or write to a memory location



Memory operations

• Memory allocation (and initialization):

let r = ref 5

• Memory dereference (read)

!r

• Memory assignment (write)

$$r := 42$$



Aliasing

- A reference points to a memory location
- We can copy the reference:

let
$$s = r$$

- That does not copy the memory location
 - Both s and r point to the same original location
 - If we assign s := 2
 - Then !r will also be 2
 - ▶ We say references *s* and *r* are *aliases* for the same memory location
- Is the program (r := 1; r := !s) equivalent to the program (r := !s)?



Shared state

- A reference is like a communication channel
- Implicitly sends something from one part of the program to another, e.g.:

let
$$c = \text{ref } 0$$

let $incc = \lambda x : Unit. (c := \text{succ } (!c); !c)$
let $decc = \lambda x : Unit. (c := \text{pred } (!c); !c)$

- Create sequential numbers from anywhere in the program by calling incc()
- The function *incc* is *stateful*: we don't need to give it the previous value, *incc* remembers it (and so is *decc*)
- Reference *c* works like an implicit argument to *incc* and *decc*, contains the last thing stored



Shared state (cont'd)

• We can pack it all in a record

```
let counter =

let c = ref 0 in

{

incr = \lambda x : Unit. (c := succ (!c); !c),

decr = \lambda x : Unit. (c := pred (!c); !c)

}
```

- We can now use *counter.incr()* and *counter.decr()*
- This is a simple *object*



References, formally

• Syntax

$$e ::= \dots | ref e |!e | e := e$$
$$T ::= \dots | Ref T$$

• Typing

$$[T-REF] \xrightarrow{\Gamma \vdash e : T} \Gamma \vdash ref \ e : Ref \ T$$

$$[T-DEREF] \xrightarrow{\Gamma \vdash e : Ref \ T} \Gamma \vdash !e : T$$

$$[T-ASSIGN] \xrightarrow{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : Ref \ T} \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T$$



æ

<ロト <問ト < 国ト < 国ト

References, formally (cont'd)

• What is the result of ref 2 at run time?

- Allocates a new memory location,
- initiallizes it with 2, and
- returns a pointer to that location
- But what is the value of the pointer?
- We add another type of value (and expression) that only occurs at run-time:

$$v, e ::= \ldots \mid I$$

- A pointer, or location, l is an element of an abstract set of all possible locations $\mathcal L$
- We represent memory as a partial function from locations / to values



References, formally (cont'd)

- Extend operational semantics with memory
- The machine state is not just an expression e like in pure calculus
- New machine state is $\langle M \mid e \rangle$
- *M* represents memory: a map from locations *l* to values (also called *store*)
- Operational semantics define transitions between the new machine states:
 - Small-step: $\langle M \mid e \rangle \rightarrow \langle M' \mid e' \rangle$
 - Big-step: $\langle M \mid e \rangle \downarrow \langle M' \mid v \rangle$



11/21

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Semantics

• We need to extend all existing semantic rules with memory

$$\frac{\langle M \mid (\lambda x : T.e) \ v \rangle \to \langle M \mid e[v/x] \rangle }{\langle M \mid e_1 \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e'_1 \rangle }$$

$$\frac{\langle M \mid e_1 \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e'_1 \rangle }{\langle M \mid e_1 \ e_2 \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e'_1 \ e_2 \rangle }$$

$$\frac{\langle M \mid e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e' \rangle }{\langle M \mid v \ e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid v \ e' \rangle }$$



12/21

э

Pratikakis (CSD)

< □ > < 同 >

Semantics (cont'd)

Allocation

$$\frac{\langle M \mid e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e' \rangle}{\langle M \mid \text{ref } e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid \text{ref } e' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{I \notin dom(M)}{\langle M \mid \text{ref } v \rangle \to \langle (M, I \mapsto v) \mid I \rangle}$$

• Dereference

$$\frac{\langle M \mid e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e' \rangle}{\langle M \mid !e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid !e' \rangle} \qquad \frac{M(I) = v}{\langle M \mid !I \rangle \to \langle M \mid v \rangle}$$



Pratikakis (CSD)

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Semantics (cont'd)

Assignment

$$\frac{\langle M \mid e_1 \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e'_1 \rangle}{\langle M \mid e_1 := e_2 \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e'_1 := e_2 \rangle}$$
$$\frac{\langle M \mid e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid e' \rangle}{\langle M \mid v := e \rangle \to \langle M' \mid v := e' \rangle}$$

$$\langle M \mid I := v \rangle \rightarrow \langle M[I \mapsto v] \mid () \rangle$$

_



14 / 21

æ

Pratikakis (CSD)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Store typing

- To prove type soundness, we need (as before) progress and preservation
- But, the run-time language includes locations I
- What is the type of a location?
 - It depends on the value it points to in the store (incorrect):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M(I) : T}{\Gamma \vdash I : Ref T}$$

- The store becomes part of the typing relation: Γ ; $M \vdash e$: T
- Typing locations (not yet correctly):

$$\frac{\Gamma; M \vdash M(I) : T}{\Gamma; M \vdash I : Ref T}$$



Store typing (cont'd)

- What happens when the store has a cycle?
 - Typing doesn't terminate: bad!
- Instead, use store typing Σ , a map from locations to types
- Now, typing relation depends on Σ : Γ ; $\Sigma \vdash e$: T
- Typing locations (correctly):

$$[\text{T-Loc}] \frac{\Sigma(I) = T}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash I: Ref T}$$

- The other rules are simple to extend: just pass Σ up recursively
- To type original program, use empty $\Sigma:$ no pointers allowed in the original program text



Typing, finally

$$\begin{bmatrix} T-ABS \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma, x: T; \Sigma \vdash e: T'}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash (\lambda x: T, e): T \to T'} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} T-VAR \end{bmatrix} \frac{x: T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash x: T}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} T-APP \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e_1: T \to T'}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e_1: e_2: T} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} T-UNIT \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash (1): Unit}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash (1): Unit}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} T-REF \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e: T}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash ref \ e: Ref \ T} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} T-DEREF \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e: Ref \ T}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e: T}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} T-ASSIGN \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e_1: Ref \ T}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e_1: = e_2: Unit} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} T-Loc \end{bmatrix} \frac{\Sigma(I) = T}{\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash I: Ref \ T}$$



17 / 21

æ

. . .

Store typing, finally

- To state and prove soundness (progress and preservation) we need to link M and Σ :
 - A store *M* is *well-typed* in context Γ under store typing Σ , written $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash M$, if
 - ★ $dom(M) = dom(\Sigma)$ and
 - ★ $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash M(I) : \Sigma(I)$ for all $I \in dom(M)$



18/21

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Preservation theorem

- If a well-typed program takes a step, it is still well-typed: If
 - $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e: T$,
 - $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash M$ and
 - $\blacktriangleright \langle M \mid e \rangle \rightarrow \langle M' \mid e' \rangle$

then, for some $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$,

- $\Gamma; \Sigma' \vdash e' : T$ and
- $\Gamma; \Sigma' \vdash M'$
- We prove as before by induction on the evaluation derivation.
- But first, we need a few auxilliary lemmas



Preservation theorem (cont'd)

- Prove the substitution lemma: If $\Gamma, x: T; \Sigma \vdash e: T'$ and $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash v: T$ then $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e[v/x]: T'$.
- Prove we can update values in the store (keeping the same type): If $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash M, \Sigma(I) = T$ and $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash v : T$, then $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash M[I \mapsto v]$
- Prove weakening for stores, we can always add stuff to the store: If $\Gamma; \Sigma \vdash e : T$ and $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$, then $\Gamma; \Sigma' \vdash e : T$.



20/21

N 4 E N 4 E

- A closed, well-typed program is either a value, or it can take a step: If $\emptyset, \Sigma \vdash e : T$, then either e is a value, or for any store M for which $\emptyset; \Sigma \vdash M$, there are some e' and M' such that $\langle M | e \rangle \rightarrow \langle M' | e' \rangle$.
- Proof as before, by induction on typing derivations
- \bullet Need to extend the canonical forms lemma with the cases for Unit and $\mathit{Ref}\ T$

