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## Tomasulo Organization



# Tomasulo vs. Scoreboard (IBM 360/91 v. CDC 6600) 

Tomasulo
Pipelined Functional Units (6 load, 3 store, 3 +, $2 \times / \div$ ) window size: $\leq 14$ instructions No issue on structural hazard WAR: renaming avoids them WAW: renaming avoids them
Broadcast results from FU
Control: reservation stations

Scoreboard
Multiple Functional Units (1 load/store, $1+, 2 \times, 1 \div$ )
$\leq 5$ instructions
same
stall completion
stall issue
Write/read registers
Central scoreboard

## Exception Behavior with ROB

```
\(\mathrm{CPI}=\mathrm{CPI}_{\text {IDEAL }}+\) Stall \(_{\text {STRUC }}+\) Stalls \(_{\text {RAW }}+\) Stalls \(_{\text {WAR }}+\) Stalls \(_{\text {WAW }}+\) Stalls \(_{\text {CONTROL }}\)
```

- Have to maintain:
- Data Flow
- Exception Behavior

| Dynamic instruction scheduling (HW) | Static instruction scheduling (SW/compiler) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scoreboard (reduce RAW stalls) | Loop Unrolling |
| Register Renaming (reduce WAR \& WAW stalls) <br> -Tomasulo <br> - Reorder buffer | SW pipelining |
| Branch Prediction (reduce control stalls) | Trace Scheduling |
| Multiple Issue $(\mathrm{CPI}<1)$ <br> Multithreading (CPI <1) |  |

## Device Interrupt



Note that priority must be raised to avoid recursive interrupts!

## Types of Interrupts/Exceptions

- I/O device request
- Invoking an operating system service from a user program
- Breakpoint (programmer-requested interrupt)
- Integer arithmetic overflow
- FP arithmetic anomaly
- Page fault (not in main memory)
- Misaligned memory accesses (if alignment is required)
- Memory protection violation
- Using an undefined or unimplemented instruction
- Hardware malfunctions
- Power failure


## Precise Interrupts/Exceptions

- An interrupt or exception is precise if there is an instruction (or interrupt point) for which:
- All instructions before this instruction have fully completed
- None of the instructions after this instructions (including the interrupting instruction) has modified the machine state
- This means that we can restart the execution from the interrupt point and still "get the correct results"
- In the example: the Interrupt point is the lw instruction




## Imprecise Interrupt/Exception

- An exception is imprecise if the processor state when an exception is raised does not look exactly as if the instructions were executed sequentially in strict program order
- Occurrence in two possibilities:
- The pipeline may have already completed instructions that are later in program order
- The pipeline may have not yet completed some instructions that are earlier in program order


## Precise interrupt point requires multiple PCs when there are delayed branches

```
    addi r4,r3,#4
    sub r1,r2,r3
    PC: bne r1,there
PC+4: and r2,r3,r5
    <other insts>
        addi r4,r3,#4
        sub r1,r2,r3
    PC: bne r1,there
PC+4: and r2,r3,r5
        <other insts>
```


## Why do we need precise interrupts?

- Several interrupts/exceptions need to be restartable
- i.e. TLB faults. Fix translation and then restart the faulting load/store
- IEEE gradual underflow, illegal operation,
e.g: $\quad f(x)=\frac{\sin (x)}{x}$
$x \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \quad f(0)=\frac{\mathbf{0}}{\mathbf{0}} \Rightarrow N a N+$ illegal_operation
Want to take exception, replace $N a N$ with 1 , then restart.
- Restartability does not require preciseness. However, preciseness makes restarts much simpler
- Simplifies the Operating System (OS)
- Less state needs to be saved away if unloading process.
- Quick to restart (for fast interrupts)


## Precise Exceptions in 5-stage DLX

- Exceptions may occur in different stages of the processor pipeline (i.e. out of order):
- Arithmetic exceptions occur in execution stage
- TLB faults can occur in instruction fetch or memory stage
- How do we guarantee precise exceptions? Mark the instructions with an "exception status" and wait until the WB stage to take the exception
- Interrupts are marked as NOPs (like bubbles) that are placed into pipeline instead of an instruction.
- Assume that interrupt condition persists in case NOP flushed
- Clever instruction fetch might start fetching instructions from interrupt vector, but this is complicated and needs to switch to supervisor mode, saving of one or more PCs, etc


## Another look at the exception problem

Time


- Use the pipeline!
- Each instruction has an exception status field.
- Keep the PCs for every instruction in the pipeline.
- Check the exception status when the instruction reaches the WB stage
- When an instruction reaches the WB stage and has an exception:
- Save PC $\Rightarrow E P C$, Interrupt vector addr $\Rightarrow P C$
- Convert all fetched instructions to NOPs
- It works because of in-order completion/WB


## Scoreboard Example: Cycle 62



## Tomasulo Example: Cycle 57

Exec Write

| Instruction | $j$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LD | F6 | $34+$ |
| LD | F2 | $45+$ |
| MULTD | F0 | F2 |
| SUBD | F8 | F6 |
| DIVD | F10 | F0 |
| ADDD | F6 | F8 |

Reservation Stations:
Time Name Add 1 Add2 No Add3 No Mult 1 No Mult2 Yes DIVD M*F4 M(A1)
Register result status.

Reg File $\quad$ M*F4 M(A2) (M-M+M) (M-M)

## In-order issue

Out-of-order execute Out-of-order commit!

## Issue: "Fetch" unit



- Instructions from a potentially mispredicted branch path have been already executed.
- Instruction fetch decoupled from execution


## Branch must execute fast for loop overlap!

- In the loop-unrolling example, we assume that the branches are executed from a "fast" integer unit to achieve overlap!

| Loop: | LD | F0 | 0 | R1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | MULTD | F4 | F0 | F2 |
|  | SD | F4 | 0 | R1 |
|  | SUBI | R1 | R1 | \#8 |
|  | BNEZ | R1 | Loop |  |

- What happens if the branch depends on the outcome of MULTD?
- We lose all benefits
- We have to predict the outcome of the branch
- If we predict "taken" the prediction would be correct most of the time.


## Prediction: Branches, Dependencies, Data

- Branch Prediction is necessary for good performance
- We studied branches in the previous lecture. Modern architectures now predict many things: data dependencies, actual data, and results of groups of instructions
- Why does prediction work?
-Underlying algorithm has regularities.
- Data that is being operated on has regularities.
- Instruction sequence has redundancies that are artifacts of way that humans/compilers think about problems.


## Problem: Out-of-Order Completion

- Scoreboard and Tomasulo operate as follows:
- In-order issue, out-of-order execution, out-of-order completion
- We need a way to synchronize the completion stage of instructions with the program order (i.e. with issue-order)
-Easiest way is with in-order completion (i.e. re-order buffer)
- Other Techniques (Smith paper): Future File, History Buffer


## Precise Interrupts and Speculation:

- During the Issue stage of instructions we operate as if as we are predicting that all previous instructions do not generate exceptions
-Branch prediction, data prediction
- If we speculate and are wrong, need to back up and restart execution to the point at which we predicted incorrectly
-This is exactly same as precise exceptions!
- Common technique for precise interrupts/exceptions and speculation: in-order completion or commit
- All modern out-of-order processors typically use a form of re-order buffer (ROB)


## HW support for precise interupts/exceptions

- Idea behind Reorder Buffer (ROB): keep the instructions in a FIFO, with the exact order that they are issued.
- Each ROB entry contains PC, dest reg/mem, result, exception status
- When an instruction completes execution then the results are placed in the allocated entry in the ROB.
- Supplies operands to other instruction between execution complete \& commit $\Rightarrow$ more registers like RS
- Tag results with ROB buffer number instead of reservation station
- The instructions change the machine state at the commit stage not on the WB $\Rightarrow$ in order commit $\Rightarrow$ values at head
 of ROB are placed in registers
- This technique allows us to cancel/squash speculatively executed instructions during mispredicted branches or exceptions


## HW Support for Reorder Buffer (ROB)?



- How do we find the last "version" of each register?
- Multi-ported ROB like the register file
- Integrate store buffer into ROB since we have in order commit. Stores use Result field for ROB tag until data ready on CDB.
- Can we also integrate the reservation stations ?


## Tomasulo with ROB: Basic Block Diagram
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## Four Stages of Tomasulo with ROB

## 1. Issue: Get Instruction from Op Queue

- If there are free reservation stations and reorder buffer slot, issue instr \& send operands \& reorder buffer no. for destination (sometimes called "dispatch")

2. Execution: Execute the Instruction in the Execution Unit (EX)

- When the values of the 2 source regs are ready then execute the instruction; otherwise, watch CDB for result; when both in reservation station, execute; checks RAW ("issue")

3. Write result: End of Execution (WB)

- Write on Common Data Bus to all awaiting FUs \& reorder buffer; mark reservation station available.

4. Commit: Update the dst reg with the value from the reorder buffer

- When instr. at head of reorder buffer \& result present, update register with result (or store to memory) and remove instr from reorder buffer. Mispredicted branch or exception flushes reorder buffer. (also called "graduation" or "retirement")

Tomasulo With Reorder buffer


Reorder buffer (after 2 cycles)


## Reorder buffer (after 3 cycles)



Reorder buffer (after 1 cycle)


Tomasulo With Reorder buffer


Tomasulo With Reorder buffer


Tomasulo With Reorder buffer


Tomasulo With Reorder buffer


Reorder buffer: Precise Exceptions


Reorder buffer: Branch Misprediction


Reorder buffer: Branch Misprediction


Tomasulo With Reorder buffer


Reorder Buffer

What about memory hazards???


## Memory Disambiguation: WAW/WAR Hazards

- Like Hazards in Register File, we must avoid hazards through memory:
- WAW and WAR hazards through memory are eliminated with speculation because the actual updating of memory occurs in order, when a store is at the head of the ROB, and hence, no earlier loads or stores can still be pending.


## Memory Disambiguation: RAW Hazards

- Challenge: Given a load that follows a store in program order, are these two related?
- What if there is a RAW hazard between the store and the load?

Eg: | st | $0(R 2), R 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ld | R6,0(R3) |

- Can we proceed and issues the load to the memory system?
- Store address could be delayed for a long time by some calculation that leads to R2 (e.g. divide).
- We might want to issue/begin execution of both operations in same cycle.
- Solution1: Answer is that we are not allowed to start load until we know that address $0(R 2) \neq 0$ (R3)
- Solution2: We might guess at whether or not they are dependent (called "dependence speculation") and use reorder buffer to fixup if we are wrong.


## HW support for Memory Disambiguation

- Store buffer keeps all pending stores to memory, in program order
- Keep track of address (when becomes available) and value (when becomes available)
- FIFO ordering: will retire stores from this buffer in program order
- When issuing a load, record the head of the store buffer (which stores precede)
- When we have the address of the load, check the buffer:
- If any store prior to load is waiting for its address, stall load
- If load address matches earlier store address (associative lookup), then we have a memoryinduced RAW hazard:
- store value available $\Rightarrow$ return value
- store value not available $\Rightarrow$ return ROB number of source
- Otherwise, send out request to memory
- Stores commit in order, there are no WAW/WAR hazards in memory.


## Memory Disambiguation



## Register Renaming



- What happens with branches?
- Tomasulo can handle renaming across branches


## Explicit register renaming

| F0 | F2 | F4 | F6 | F8 | F10 F12 F14 F16 F18 F20 F22 F24 F26 F28 F30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



- Hardware equivalent of static, single-assignment (SSA) compiler form
- Physical register file bigger than ISA register file (e.g. 32 Phys regs кaı 16 ISA regs)
- Upon issue, every instruction that write a register allocates a new physical register from the freelist


## Explicit register renaming

| F0 | F2 | F4 | F6 | F8 | F10 F12 F14 F16 F18 F20 F22 F24 F26 F28 F30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P32 | P2 | P4 | P6 | P8 | P10 | P12 | P14 | P16 | P18 | P20 | P22 | P24 | P26 | P28 | P30 |



- Note that physical register P0 is "dead" (or not "live") past the point of this load.
- When we commit the load, we free up


## Explicit register renaming

| F0 | F2 | F4 | F6 | F8 | F10 F12 F14 F16 F18 F20 F22 F24 F26 F28 F30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P32 | P2 | P4 | P6 | P8 | P34 | P12 | P14 | P16 | P18 | P20 | P22 | P24 | P26 | P28 | P30 |



Issue ADD F10,F4, F0

## Explicit register renaming



## Explicit register renaming




## Explicit register renaming

| F0 | F2 | F4 | F6 | F8 | F10 F12 F14 F16 F18 F20 F22 F24 F26 F28 F30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P32 | P36 | P4 | P6 | P8 | P34 | P12 | P14 | P16 | P18 | P20 | P22 | P24 | P26 | P28 | P30 |



