HY345 – Operating Systems

Recitation 2 – Memory Management - Solutions -

Consider the following C program:

int X[N];

int step = M; //M is some predefined constant

for (int i = 0; i < N; i += step) X[i] = X[i] + 1;

- a) If this program is run on a machine with a 4-KB page size and 64-entry TLB, what values of M and N will cause a TLB miss for every execution of the inner loop?
- b) Would your answer in part (a) be different if the loop were repeated many times?
- c) So you know that the page size is 4 Kbytes. So if there is an array lets say of infinite length. You are accessing it from 0 to infinity in a for loop. The first access of the array X[0] will cause a TLB miss and load the first TLB. then for next 4095 accesses, it will not be missed because it is present in the TLB(remember this is because the Page size is 4096 = 4KB). So then the next address is X[4096] which will cause a TLB miss. Thus you see that for every 4096 address increments you will have a TLB miss. So we are sure that M = 4096/sizeof(int). Now you also know that you have 64-entry TLB cache. So after 64 entries of TLB are loaded, you will have a full TLB. To load the 65th entry, you will have to remove the first entry. So you need the size of 64 * 4096 = 256 KBytes, to fully utilize the TLB cache. However you want to have a TLB cache miss for every step. So for 64 entry TLB cache you need array size which will be equivalent to 65 entries. Thus N = 65 * 4096 / sizeof(int).
- d) M should still be at least 4,096/sizeof(int) to ensure a TLB miss for every access to an element of X. But now N should be greater than 64K to thrash the TLB, that is, X should exceed 256 KB.

A machine has a 32-bit address space and an 8-KB page. The page table is entirely in hardware, with one 32-bit word per entry. When a process starts, the page table is copied to the hardware from memory, at one word every 100 nsec.

- If each process runs for 100 msec (including the time to load the page table), what fraction of the CPU time is devoted to loading the page tables?
- The page table contains 2^32 /2^13 entries, which is 524,288. Loading the page table takes 52 msec. If a process gets 100 msec, this consists of 52 msec for loading the page table and 48 msec for running. Thus 52% of the time is spent loading page tables.

The TLB on the VAX does not contain an *R bit*.

• Why?

• The *R* bit is never needed in the TLB. The mere presence of a page there means the page has been referenced; otherwise it would not be there. Thus the bit is completely redundant. When the entry is written back to memory, however, the *R* bit in the memory page table is set.

- A student in a compiler design course proposes to the professor a project of writing a compiler that will produce a list of page references that can be used to implement the optimal page replacement algorithm.
- a) Is this possible? Why or why not?
- b) Is there anything that could be done to improve paging efficiency at run time?
- This is probably not possible except for the unusual and not very useful case of a program whose course of execution is completely predictable at compilation time. If a compiler collects information about the locations in the code of calls to procedures, this information might be used at link time to rearrange the object code so that procedures were located close to the code that calls them. This would make it more likely that a procedure would be on the same page as the calling code. Of course this would not help much for procedures called from many places in the program.

Suppose that the virtual page reference stream contains repetitions of long sequences of page references followed occasionally by a random page reference. For example, the sequence: 0, 1, ..., 511, 431, 0, 1, ..., 511, 332, 0, 1, ... consists of repetitions of the sequence 0, 1, ..., 511 followed by a random reference to pages 431 and 332.

- a) Why won't the standard replacement algorithms (LRU, FIFO, Clock) be effective in handling this workload for a page allocation that is less than the sequence length?
- b) If this program were allocated 500 page frames, describe a page replacement approach that would perform much better than the LRU, FIFO, or Clock algorithms.
- c) Every reference will page fault unless the number of page frames is 512, the length of the entire sequence.
- d) If there are 500 frames, map pages 0–498 to fixed frames and vary only one frame.

Consider the following two-dimensional array:

int X[64][64];

Suppose that a system has 4 page frames and each frame is 128 words (an integer occupies one word). Programs that manipulate the X array fit into exactly one page and always occupy page 0. The data are swapped in and out of the other three frames. The X array is stored in row-major order (i.e., X[0][1] follows X[0][0] in memory). Which of the two code fragments shown below will generate the lowest number of page faults? Explain and compute the total number of page faults.

Fragment A	Fragment B
for (int j=0; j<64; j++)	for (int i=0; i<64; i++)
for (int i=0; i<64; i++)	for (int j=0; j<64; j++)
X[i][j]=0;	X[i][j]=0;

- Fragment *B* since the code has more spatial locality than Fragment A. The inner loop causes only one page fault for every other iteration of the outer loop. (There will only be 32 page faults.)
- Aside (Fragment A): Since a frame is 128 words, one row of the X array occupies half of a page (i.e., 64 words). The entire array fits into 64 × 32/128 = 16 frames. The inner loop of the code steps through consecutive rows of X for a given column. Thus every other reference to X [i][j] will cause a page fault. The total number of page faults will be 64 × 64/2 = 2,048.

A group of operating system designers for the Frugal Computer Company are thinking about ways to reduce the amount of backing store needed in their new operating system. The head guru has just suggested not bothering to save the program text in the swap area at all, but just page it in directly from the binary file whenever it is needed.

- Under what conditions, if any, does this idea work for the program text?
- Under what conditions, if any, does it work for the data?
- It works for the program if the program cannot be modified. It works for the data if the data cannot be modified. However, it is common that the program cannot be modified and extremely rare that the data cannot be modified. If the data area on the binary file were overwritten with updated pages, the next time the program was started, it would not have the original data.

- Can you think of any situations where supporting virtual memory would be a bad idea, and what would be gained by not having to support virtual memory?
- Explain.
- General virtual memory support is not needed when the memory requirements of all applications are well known and controlled. Some examples are special- purpose processors (e.g., network processors), embedded processors, and super-computers (e.g., airplane wing design). In these situations, we should always consider the possibility of using more real memory. If the operating system did not have to support virtual memory, the code would be much simpler and smaller. On the other hand, some ideas from virtual memory may still be profitably exploited, although with different design requirements. For example, program/thread isolation might be paging to flash memory

Assume a swapping systems where the holes are: 10K, 4K, 20K, 18K, 7K, 9K, 12K and 15K.

Assume that the requests are: 12K, 10K, and 9K.

- Which holes will be given by First Fit, Best Fit, Worst Fit and Next Fit?
- First fit takes 20 KB, 10 KB, 18 KB.
- Best fit takes 12 KB, 10 KB, and 9 KB.
- Worst fit takes 20 KB, 18 KB, and 15 KB.
- Next fit takes 20 KB, 18 KB, and 9 KB.

Can a page be in two working sets?

- Explain
- yes! If it is shared.