Control Dependences (branch/jump) in Pipelines

- 'Data Dependence' = next instruction uses data (register/memory) from previous
- 'Control Dependence' = which is the next instruction depends on the previous
- Control Dependences arise from 'Control Transfer Instructions (CTI)'
- Control Transfer Instructions (CTI) are: Jump and Branch Instructions
- 'Jumps' are Unconditional CTI's: they always transfer control
- 'Branches' are Conditional CTI's: whether or not they transfer control depends on the result of a data comparison that they have to perform

Statistics (rough numbers, in a majority of programs, but NOT always so):

- Branches are about 15–16% of all ('dynamically') executed instructions in a program
  - about 2/3 of executed branches are 'taken' (successful) = ~10% of all instr.
  - about 1/3 of executed branches are not taken (unsuccessful) = ~5% of all instr.
  - most backwards branches appear in loops, and they are about 90% taken
- Jumps are about 4–5% of all executed instructions in a program
  - procedure calls are about 1%, and returns another ~1%, of all executed instr.

Branch Taken example

- In modern processors, branch latency is quite long
- In our simple pipeline, branch latency is 2 cycles (read registers; compare)
  (with MIPS–style comparisons (beq/bne only) it could even be 1 cycle)
- Example here with 3–cycle branch latency



- *need to abort speculative execution before it causes permanent damage: before DM and WB stages*

- In this example, each taken branch causes the loss of 3 extra clock cycles
- About 2/3 of all executed branches are taken, so this is a heavy loss

Branch Not–taken example

- A not–taken branch is equivalent to a noop instruction
- In the simple fetch–next–below policy that we used up to now,
  not–taken branches cost NO extra clock cycle



- Good thing that these
  cost no extra cycle,
  but they are the minority of branches

- *Can we do any better for the majority of branches (taken branches – and jumps) ??*

Branch Target known in 1 Cycle

- *Branch Prediction:*
- Simplest possible prediction, here: branches always taken
  ~65% accuracy: about 2/3 of executed branches are taken



- Opcode decode and PC+2*Imm computation can both be done in 1 cycle

- In this example, each taken branch causes the loss of 1 extra clock cycle

Branch with failed Prediction example

- Simplest possible prediction, again: branches always taken
  ~65% accuracy: about 2/3 of executed branches are taken
  (reason: loop branches (backwards) ~90% taken)



- In this example, each non–taken branch causes the loss of 2 extra clock cycles
- ~5% jumps + (~15% branches * 2/3 taken) ~= 15% good prediction, versus ~5% bad prediction

Branch Target Buffer (BTB)

- A small table – a cache, like a hash table – containing pairs of (instruction) addresses for which there is statistical evidence that their next–PC is something other than PC+4

```
36: add ...
40: beq ..., goto72
44: sd  ...
48: and ...
52: or  ...
... ...
72: ld  ...
76: xor ...
```

PC of a jump or branch–likely instruction;

Target PC to which this instruction usually went, in the past.

...	...
260	200
40	72
88	120
180	160
...	...

- A 'best approximation' – not necessarily correct information
- Branches that are believed not–taken are NOT entered into the BTB
- Like IM –the Instruction Cache– this will oftentimes 'overflow':
  old pairs are removed to make room for more recent ones
- May be complemented with a small hardware stack:
  – on every call (jal ra,...), push the return address;
  – on every return (jr ra), pop an address and predict jumpin to that one

- *In parallel with each Fetch, search the fetched instruction's PC value in the BTB*



(*) when IRvalid==0, treat IR as containing a noop instruction

When the BTB prediction is Correct

- When a matching BTB entry is found, use its Prediction;
  else, fetch from PC+4



BTB

...	...
260	200
40	72
88	120
180	160
...	...

- *When Prediction is Correct, NO extra clock cycles are lost!*

When the BTB prediction is Wrong

- Prediction says: After fetching from 40, fetch from 72
- But this time, the branch ends up going the other way: to 44

```
36: add ...
40: beq ..., goto72
44: sd  ...
48: and ...
52: or  ...
... ...
72: ld  ...
76: xor ...
```



BTB

...	...
260	200
40	72
88	120
180	160
...	...

- When Mispredicted, branches cost 3 extra clock cycles in this pipeline

1-bit Predictor = Predict same direction (taken/not-taken) as last time when this branch was executed

1-Bit Predictor: Shortcoming

- Inner loop branches mispredicted twice!



  - Mispredict as taken on last iteration of inner loop
  - Then mispredict as not taken on first iteration of inner loop next time around

2-Bit Predictor

- Only change prediction on two successive mispredictions





https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=15955952