# CS578- Speech Signal Processing Lecture 8: Speech Enhancement

Yannis Stylianou

University of Crete, Computer Science Dept., Multimedia Informatics Lab yannis@csd.uoc.gr

Univ. of Crete

# OUTLINE

# **1** INTRODUCTION

- PRELIMINARIES
  - Problem Formulation
  - Spectral Subtraction
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
- **3** Wiener Filtering
  - Estimating the Object Spectrum
  - Adaptive smoothing
  - Application to Speech
  - Optimal Spectral Magnitude Estimation
  - Binaural Representation
- 4 Model-Based Processing
- **5** Auditory Masking
  - Frequency-Domain Masking Principles
  - Calculation of the Masking Threshold
  - Exploiting Frequency Masking in Noise Reduction

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

#### • Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional

- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter

• Enhanced speech judgements: by humans, by machines

- Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional
- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter

- Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional
- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter

- Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional
- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter

- Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional
- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter

- Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional
- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter

- Types of noise: Additive and Convolutional
- Speech distortion
- Enhancement foundations:
  - Spectral Subtraction,
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
  - Wiener Filter
- Enhanced speech judgements: by humans, by machines

# OUTLINE

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 2 Preliminaries

- Problem Formulation
- Spectral Subtraction
- Cepstral Mean Subtraction

#### **3** Wiener Filtering

- Estimating the Object Spectrum
- Adaptive smoothing
- Application to Speech
- Optimal Spectral Magnitude Estimation
- Binaural Representation
- 4 Model-Based Processing
- **5** Auditory Masking
  - Frequency-Domain Masking Principles
  - Calculation of the Masking Threshold
  - Exploiting Frequency Masking in Noise Reduction

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

• A discrete-time noisy sequence:

y[n] = x[n] + b[n]

• with power spectra:

$$S_y(\omega) = S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)$$

• Working with STFT:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] + b[n])$$

• in the frequency domain:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega) + B(pL,\omega)$$

• Our target:

$$\hat{X}(pL,\omega) = |X(pL,\omega)|e^{j \measuredangle Y(pL,\omega)}$$

• A discrete-time noisy sequence:

$$y[n] = x[n] + b[n]$$

• with power spectra:

$$S_y(\omega) = S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)$$

• Working with STFT:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] + b[n])$$

• in the frequency domain:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega) + B(pL,\omega)$$

• Our target:

$$\hat{X}(pL,\omega) = |X(pL,\omega)|e^{j \measuredangle Y(pL,\omega)}$$

• A discrete-time noisy sequence:

$$y[n] = x[n] + b[n]$$

• with power spectra:

$$S_y(\omega) = S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)$$

• Working with STFT:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] + b[n])$$

• in the frequency domain:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega) + B(pL,\omega)$$

• Our target:

$$\hat{X}(pL,\omega) = |X(pL,\omega)|e^{j \measuredangle Y(pL,\omega)}$$

• A discrete-time noisy sequence:

$$y[n] = x[n] + b[n]$$

• with power spectra:

$$S_y(\omega) = S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)$$

• Working with STFT:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] + b[n])$$

• in the frequency domain:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega) + B(pL,\omega)$$

• Our target:

$$\hat{X}(pL,\omega) = |X(pL,\omega)|e^{j \measuredangle Y(pL,\omega)}$$

• A discrete-time noisy sequence:

$$y[n] = x[n] + b[n]$$

• with power spectra:

$$S_y(\omega) = S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)$$

• Working with STFT:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] + b[n])$$

• in the frequency domain:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega) + B(pL,\omega)$$

• Our target:

$$\hat{X}(pL,\omega) = |X(pL,\omega)|e^{j\measuredangle Y(pL,\omega)}$$

# CONVOLUTIONAL DISTORTION

• A discrete-time convolutional distorted sequence:

```
y[n] = x[n] \star g[n]
```

# where g[n] is the impulse response of a linear time-invariant distortion filter.

• Working with a frame-by-frame analysis:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] \star g[n])$$

• In the frequency domain, we can show that:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega)G(\omega)$$

# CONVOLUTIONAL DISTORTION

• A discrete-time convolutional distorted sequence:

```
y[n] = x[n] \star g[n]
```

where g[n] is the impulse response of a linear time-invariant distortion filter.

• Working with a frame-by-frame analysis:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] \star g[n])$$

• In the frequency domain, we can show that:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega)G(\omega)$$

# CONVOLUTIONAL DISTORTION

• A discrete-time convolutional distorted sequence:

```
y[n] = x[n] \star g[n]
```

where g[n] is the impulse response of a linear time-invariant distortion filter.

• Working with a frame-by-frame analysis:

$$y_{pL}[n] = w[pL - n](x[n] \star g[n])$$

• In the frequency domain, we can show that:

$$Y(pL,\omega) = X(pL,\omega)G(\omega)$$

Assuming that noise and target (object) signal are uncorrelated:

• Estimate of object's short-time squared spectral magnitude

$$\begin{split} |\hat{X}(pL,\omega)|^2 &= |Y(pL,\omega)|^2 - \hat{S}_b(\omega) & ext{if } |Y(pL,\omega)|^2 - \hat{S}_b(\omega) \geq 0 \\ &= 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

STFT estimate:

$$\hat{X}(pL,\omega) = |\hat{X}(pL,\omega)|e^{j \measuredangle Y(pL,\omega)}$$

# SPECTRAL SUBTRACTION AS A FILTERING OPERATION

• We can show:

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{X}(pL,\omega)|^2 &= |Y(pL,\omega)|^2 - \hat{S}_b(\omega) \\ &\approx |Y(pL,\omega)|^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}\right]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(pL,\omega) = \frac{|X(pL,\omega)|^2}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• Suppression filter frequency response

$$H_s(pL,\omega) = \left[1 + rac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}
ight]^{-1/2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# SPECTRAL SUBTRACTION AS A FILTERING OPERATION

• We can show:

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{X}(pL,\omega)|^2 &= |Y(pL,\omega)|^2 - \hat{S}_b(\omega) \\ &\approx |Y(pL,\omega)|^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}\right]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(pL,\omega) = \frac{|X(pL,\omega)|^2}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• Suppression filter frequency response

$$H_{s}(pL,\omega) = \left[1 + rac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}
ight]^{-1/2}$$

#### The role of the analysis window

Let  $x[n] = A\cos(\omega_0 n)$  be in a stationary white noise b[n] of variance  $\sigma^2$  and w[n] be a short-time window. Then:

• Average short-time signal power at  $\omega_0$ :

$$\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega_{0}) = E[|X(pL,\omega_{0})|^{2}] \approx \frac{A^{2}}{4} \left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w[n]\right|^{2}$$

• Average power of the windowed noise

$$\hat{S}_b(pL,\omega) = E[|B(pL,\omega)|^2] = \sigma^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w^2[n]$$

• Ratio at  $\omega_0$ :

$$\frac{E[|Y(pL,\omega)|^2]}{\hat{S}_b(pL,\omega_0)} = 1 + \frac{A^2/4}{[\sigma^2 \Delta_w]}$$

$$\Delta_{w} = \frac{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2}[n]}{\left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w[n]\right|^{2}}$$

#### The role of the analysis window

Let  $x[n] = A\cos(\omega_0 n)$  be in a stationary white noise b[n] of variance  $\sigma^2$  and w[n] be a short-time window. Then:

• Average short-time signal power at  $\omega_0$ :

$$\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega_{0}) = E[|X(pL,\omega_{0})|^{2}] \approx \frac{A^{2}}{4} \left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w[n]\right|^{2}$$

• Average power of the windowed noise

$$\hat{S}_b(pL,\omega) = E[|B(pL,\omega)|^2] = \sigma^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w^2[n]$$

• Ratio at  $\omega_0$ :

$$\frac{E[|Y(pL,\omega)|^2]}{\hat{S}_b(pL,\omega_0)} = 1 + \frac{A^2/4}{[\sigma^2 \Delta_w]}$$

$$\Delta_{w} = \frac{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2}[n]}{\left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w[n]\right|^{2}}$$

#### The role of the analysis window

Let  $x[n] = A\cos(\omega_0 n)$  be in a stationary white noise b[n] of variance  $\sigma^2$  and w[n] be a short-time window. Then:

• Average short-time signal power at  $\omega_0$ :

$$\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega_{0}) = E[|X(pL,\omega_{0})|^{2}] \approx \frac{A^{2}}{4} \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w[n] \right|^{2}$$

• Average power of the windowed noise

$$\hat{S}_b(pL,\omega) = E[|B(pL,\omega)|^2] = \sigma^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w^2[n]$$

• Ratio at  $\omega_0$ :

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}[|Y(pL,\omega)|^2]}{\hat{S}_b(pL,\omega_0)} = 1 + \frac{A^2/4}{[\sigma^2 \Delta_w]}$$

$$\Delta_{w} = \frac{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2}[n]}{\left|\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w[n]\right|^{2}}$$

# CEPSTRAL MEAN SUBTRACTION

Let  $y[n] = x[n] \star g[n]$ . Then: • Logarithm of the STFT of y[n]:  $Y(pL, \omega) \approx \log [X(pL, \omega)] + \log [G(\omega)]$ 

• Cepstrum:

$$\hat{y}[n,\omega] \approx F_p^{-1}(\log [X(pL,\omega)]) + F_p^{-1}(\log [G(\omega)])$$

$$= \hat{x}[n,\omega] + \hat{g}[0,\omega]\delta[n]$$

• Cepstral filter:

 $\hat{x}[n,\omega] \approx I[n]\hat{y}[n,\omega]$ 

where I[n] = u[n-1]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# CEPSTRAL MEAN SUBTRACTION

Let  $y[n] = x[n] \star g[n]$ . Then:

• Logarithm of the STFT of y[n]:

$$Y(pL,\omega) \approx \log [X(pL,\omega)] + \log [G(\omega)]$$

• Cepstrum:

$$\hat{y}[n,\omega] \approx F_p^{-1}(\log [X(pL,\omega)]) + F_p^{-1}(\log [G(\omega)])$$

$$= \hat{x}[n,\omega] + \hat{g}[0,\omega]\delta[n]$$

• Cepstral filter:

 $\hat{x}[n,\omega] \approx I[n]\hat{y}[n,\omega]$ 

where I[n] = u[n-1]

#### CEPSTRAL MEAN SUBTRACTION

- Let  $y[n] = x[n] \star g[n]$ . Then:
  - Logarithm of the STFT of y[n]:

$$Y(pL,\omega) \approx \log [X(pL,\omega)] + \log [G(\omega)]$$

• Cepstrum:

$$\hat{y}[n,\omega] \approx F_p^{-1}(\log [X(pL,\omega)]) + F_p^{-1}(\log [G(\omega)])$$

$$= \hat{x}[n,\omega] + \hat{g}[0,\omega]\delta[n]$$

• Cepstral filter:

$$\hat{x}[n,\omega] \approx I[n]\hat{y}[n,\omega]$$

where I[n] = u[n-1]

# OUTLINE

- **I**INTRODUCTION
- 2 Preliminaries
  - Problem Formulation
  - Spectral Subtraction
  - Cepstral Mean Subtraction
- **3** Wiener Filtering
  - Estimating the Object Spectrum
  - Adaptive smoothing
  - Application to Speech
  - Optimal Spectral Magnitude Estimation
  - Binaural Representation
- 4 Model-Based Processing
- **5** Auditory Masking
  - Frequency-Domain Masking Principles
  - Calculation of the Masking Threshold
  - Exploiting Frequency Masking in Noise Reduction

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Stochastic optimization:
 if y[n] = x[n] + b[n], find h[n] such that x̂[n] = y[n] \* h[n]
 minimizes

 $e = E[|\hat{x}[n] - x[n]|^2]$ 

• Frequency domain solution (*Wiener filter*):

$$H_w = \frac{S_x(\omega)}{S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)}$$

• Time-varying Wiener filter:

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = rac{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega) + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• Or

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = \left[1 + rac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}
ight]^-$$

$$R(pL,\omega) = \frac{\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_{b}(\omega)} \longrightarrow (B \to AB) = Dage$$

Stochastic optimization:
 if y[n] = x[n] + b[n], find h[n] such that x̂[n] = y[n] \* h[n]
 minimizes

$$e = E[|\hat{x}[n] - x[n]|^2]$$

• Frequency domain solution (*Wiener filter*):

$$H_w = \frac{S_x(\omega)}{S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)}$$

• Time-varying Wiener filter:

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = rac{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega) + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• Or

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = \left[1 + rac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}
ight]^-$$

$$R(pL,\omega) = \frac{\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_{b}(\omega)} \longrightarrow \hat{B}_{b}(\omega) = \hat{S}_{b}(\omega) = \hat{S}_{b}(\omega)$$

Stochastic optimization:
 if y[n] = x[n] + b[n], find h[n] such that x̂[n] = y[n] \* h[n]
 minimizes

$$e = E[|\hat{x}[n] - x[n]|^2]$$

• Frequency domain solution (*Wiener filter*):

$$H_w = \frac{S_x(\omega)}{S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)}$$

• Time-varying Wiener filter:

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = rac{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega) + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• Or

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = \left[1 + \frac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}\right]^{-1}$$

$$R(pL,\omega) = \frac{\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_{b}(\omega)} \longrightarrow (B \to AB) = Dage$$

Stochastic optimization:
 if y[n] = x[n] + b[n], find h[n] such that x̂[n] = y[n] \* h[n]
 minimizes

$$e = E[|\hat{x}[n] - x[n]|^2]$$

• Frequency domain solution (*Wiener filter*):

$$H_w = \frac{S_x(\omega)}{S_x(\omega) + S_b(\omega)}$$

• Time-varying Wiener filter:

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = rac{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_x(pL,\omega) + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

Or

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = \left[1 + rac{1}{R(pL,\omega)}
ight]^{-1}$$

$$R(pL,\omega) = \frac{\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_{b}(\omega)}$$

#### COMPARING THE TWO SUPPRESSION FILTERS



▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト の Q @

Solid line: Spectral Subtraction. Dashed-line: Wiener filter

#### A basic approach

 We assume that the Wiener filter of p - 1 frame is known, then:

$$\hat{X}(\textit{pL},\omega) = Y(\textit{pL},\omega)H_w((p-1)L,\omega)$$

• Updating the Wiener filter:

$$H_w(pL,\omega) = \frac{|\hat{X}(pL,\omega)|^2}{|\hat{X}(pL,\omega)|^2 + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

Smooth power spectrum:

$$ilde{\mathcal{S}}_{x}(pL,\omega)= au ilde{\mathcal{S}}_{x}((p-1)L,\omega)+(1- au)\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{x}(pL,\omega)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where  $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\scriptscriptstyle X}(\textit{pL},\omega) = |\hat{X}(\textit{pL},\omega)|^2$ 

• Initialization: spectral subtraction

- Wiener filter estimator adapts to the "degree of stationarity" of the measured signal.
- A measure of the degree of stationarity

$$\Delta Y(pL) = h_{\Delta}[p] \star \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |Y(pL,\omega) - Y((p-1)L,\omega)|^2 d\omega\right]^{1/2}$$

• Time varying smoothing constant:

$$\tau(p) = Q[1 - 2(\Delta Y(pL) - \bar{\Delta Y})]$$

where

$$Q(x) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0, & x < 0 \ 1, & x > 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

• Smooth object spectrum:

$$\tilde{S}_{x}(pL,\omega) = \tau(p)\tilde{S}_{x}((p-1)L,\omega) + [1-\tau(p)]\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)$$

- Wiener filter estimator adapts to the "degree of stationarity" of the measured signal.
- A measure of the degree of stationarity

$$\Delta Y(pL) = h_{\Delta}[p] \star \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |Y(pL,\omega) - Y((p-1)L,\omega)|^2 d\omega\right]^{1/2}$$

• Time varying smoothing constant:

$$\tau(p) = Q[1 - 2(\Delta Y(pL) - \bar{\Delta Y})]$$

where

$$Q(x) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0, & x < 0 \ 1, & x > 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

• Smooth object spectrum:

$$ilde{S}_{x}(pL,\omega) = au(p) ilde{S}_{x}((p-1)L,\omega) + [1- au(p)]\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)$$

- Wiener filter estimator adapts to the "degree of stationarity" of the measured signal.
- A measure of the degree of stationarity

$$\Delta Y(pL) = h_{\Delta}[p] \star \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |Y(pL,\omega) - Y((p-1)L,\omega)|^2 d\omega\right]^{1/2}$$

• Time varying smoothing constant:

$$\tau(p) = Q[1 - 2(\Delta Y(pL) - \Delta Y)]$$

where

$$Q(x) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0, & x < 0 \ 1, & x > 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

• Smooth object spectrum:

$$ilde{S}_{x}(pL,\omega) = au(p) ilde{S}_{x}((p-1)L,\omega) + [1- au(p)]\hat{S}_{x}(pL,\omega)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Wiener filter estimator adapts to the "degree of stationarity" of the measured signal.
- A measure of the degree of stationarity

$$\Delta Y(pL) = h_{\Delta}[p] \star \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |Y(pL,\omega) - Y((p-1)L,\omega)|^2 d\omega\right]^{1/2}$$

• Time varying smoothing constant:

$$\tau(p) = Q[1 - 2(\Delta Y(pL) - \bar{\Delta Y})]$$

where

$$Q(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0, & x < 0 \ 1, & x > 1 \end{array} 
ight.$$

Smooth object spectrum:

$$ilde{\mathcal{S}}_{x}(pL,\omega)= au(p) ilde{\mathcal{S}}_{x}((p-1)L,\omega)+[1- au(p)]\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{x}(pL,\omega)$$

#### EXAMPLE OF ENHANCEMENT



୍ର୍ବ୍

Satisfying enhanced speech quality with Wiener filter is obtained if:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Window: triangular
- Frame length: 4ms
- Frame interval (rate): 1ms
- OLA synthesis

#### EXAMPLE OF ENHANCEMENT IN SPEECH



lf

$$y[n] = x[n] + b[n]$$

compute the expected value of:

 $E\{|X(pL,\omega)| | y[n]\}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(Ephraim and Malah, 1984)

# SUPPRESSION FILTER

• Suppression Filter of Ephraim and Malah

$$H_{s}(pL,\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{1+\gamma_{po}(pL,\omega)}\right) \left(\frac{\gamma_{pr}(pL,\omega)}{1+\gamma_{pr}(pL,\omega)}\right)} \times G\left[\frac{\gamma_{pr}(pL,\omega)+\gamma_{po}(pL,\omega)\gamma_{pr}(pL,\omega)}{1+\gamma_{pr}(pL,\omega)}\right]$$

where

$$G(x) = e^{-x/2}[(1+x)I_0(x/2) + xI_1(x/2)]$$

• a posteriori SNR:

$$\gamma_{po}(pL,\omega) = \frac{P[|Y(pL,\omega)|^2 - \hat{S}_b(\omega)]}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• a priori SNR:

$$\gamma_{pr}(pL,\omega) = (1-a)P[\gamma_{po}(pL,\omega)] + a \frac{|H_s((p-1)L,\omega)Y((p-1)L,\omega)|^2}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

- Compute the enhanced signal (object) through  $H_s(pL,\omega)$
- Compute its complement:  $1 H_s(pL, \omega)$
- Play a stereo signal: i.e., left channel for the object and right channel it complement

• Illusion: object and its complement come from different directions, and thus there is further enhancement!!!

# OUTLINE

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

#### 2 Preliminaries

- Problem Formulation
- Spectral Subtraction
- Cepstral Mean Subtraction

#### **3** Wiener Filtering

- Estimating the Object Spectrum
- Adaptive smoothing
- Application to Speech
- Optimal Spectral Magnitude Estimation
- Binaural Representation

# 4 Model-Based Processing

#### **5** Auditory Masking

- Frequency-Domain Masking Principles
- Calculation of the Masking Threshold
- Exploiting Frequency Masking in Noise Reduction

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

• Model-based Wiener Filter:

$$H(\omega) = rac{\hat{S}_x(\omega)}{\hat{S}_x(\omega) + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

• Power spectrum estimate of speech:

$$\hat{S}_x(\omega) = rac{\mathcal{A}^2}{|1-\sum_{k=1}^p \hat{a}_k e^{-j\omega k}|^2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### STOCHASTIC ESTIMATION METHODS

• Maximum Likelihood, ML

 $\max_{a} p_{Y|A}(y|a)$ 

• Maximum a posteriori, (MAP)

 $\max_{a} p_{A|Y}(a|y)$ 

knowing the a priori probability  $p_A(a)$ 

• Minimum-Mean-Squared Error, (MMSE)

mean of  $p_{A|Y}(a|y)$ 

#### STOCHASTIC ESTIMATION METHODS

• Maximum Likelihood, ML

$$\max_{a} p_{Y|A}(y|a)$$

• Maximum a posteriori, (MAP)

 $\max_{a} p_{A|Y}(a|y)$ 

#### knowing the a priori probability $p_A(a)$

• Minimum-Mean-Squared Error, (MMSE)

mean of  $p_{A|Y}(a|y)$ 

#### STOCHASTIC ESTIMATION METHODS

• Maximum Likelihood, ML

$$\max_{a} p_{Y|A}(y|a)$$

• Maximum a posteriori, (MAP)

 $\max_{a} p_{A|Y}(a|y)$ 

knowing the a priori probability  $p_A(a)$ 

• Minimum-Mean-Squared Error, (MMSE)

mean of  $p_{A|Y}(a|y)$ 

# Example of (L)MAP estimation for Enhancement

- Solution to the MAP problem requires solving a set of nonlinear equations.
- Instead we use a linearized approach of MAP:
  - Initial estimation of â<sup>0</sup>
  - Estimate speech spectrum  $E[x|\hat{a}^0, y]$
  - Having a speech estimate, estimate a new parameter vector â<sup>1</sup>
  - Estimate speech spectrum:

$$\hat{S}_{x}^{1}(\omega) = rac{\mathcal{A}^{2}}{|1-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \hat{a}_{k}^{1}e^{-j\omega k}|^{2}}$$

Estimate suppression filter:

$$H^1(\omega) = rac{\hat{S}^1_x(\omega)}{\hat{S}^1_x(\omega) + \hat{S}_b(\omega)}$$

make iterations

#### LINEARIZED MAP



# OUTLINE

#### **I** INTRODUCTION

#### 2 Preliminaries

- Problem Formulation
- Spectral Subtraction
- Cepstral Mean Subtraction
- **3** Wiener Filtering
  - Estimating the Object Spectrum
  - Adaptive smoothing
  - Application to Speech
  - Optimal Spectral Magnitude Estimation
  - Binaural Representation
- 4 Model-Based Processing
- **5** Auditory Masking
  - Frequency-Domain Masking Principles
  - Calculation of the Masking Threshold
  - Exploiting Frequency Masking in Noise Reduction
- 6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Auditory masking: one sound component is concealed by the presence of another sound component.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Frequency masking
- Temporal masking
- Critical band
- Masking threshold
- Maskee
- Masker

# MASKING THRESHOLD CURVE



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### • Physiologically-based/Psychoacoustically-based filters

- Critical Bands: Bandwidth of Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Quantized critical bands (*Bark Scale*):

 $z = 13 \arctan(0.76f) + 3.5 \arctan(f/7500)$ 

• Quantized critical bands (*Mel Scale*):

 $m = 2595 \log_1 0(1 + f/700)$ 

- Physiologically-based/Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Critical Bands: Bandwidth of Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Quantized critical bands (*Bark Scale*):

 $z = 13 \arctan(0.76f) + 3.5 \arctan(f/7500)$ 

• Quantized critical bands (*Mel Scale*):

 $m = 2595 \log_1 0(1 + f/700)$ 

- Physiologically-based/Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Critical Bands: Bandwidth of Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Quantized critical bands (*Bark Scale*):

 $z = 13 \arctan(0.76f) + 3.5 \arctan(f/7500)$ 

• Quantized critical bands (*Mel Scale*):

 $m = 2595 \log_1 0(1 + f/700)$ 

- Physiologically-based/Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Critical Bands: Bandwidth of Psychoacoustically-based filters
- Quantized critical bands (*Bark Scale*):

 $z = 13 \arctan(0.76f) + 3.5 \arctan(f/7500)$ 

• Quantized critical bands (*Mel Scale*):

 $m = 2595 \log_1 0(1 + f/700)$ 

# MASKING THRESHOLD CALCULATION

- Compute energy  $E_k$  in each *k*th bark filter in the estimated speech spectrum (after spectral subtraction)
- Convolve each  $E_k$  with a "spreading function"  $h_k$ :  $T_k = E_k \star h_k$
- Subtract a threshold offset depending if the masker is noise-like or tone-like.
- Map  $T_k$  to linear frequency scale to obtain  $T(pL, \omega)$

#### AUDITORY MASKING THRESHOLD CURVES



• Suppression filter:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_{\mathfrak{s}}(pL,\omega) &=& [1-aQ(pL,\omega)^{\gamma_1}]^{\gamma_2}, & \text{if } Q(pL,\omega)^{\gamma_1} < \frac{1}{a+b} \\ &=& [bQ(pL,\omega)^{\gamma_1}]^{\gamma_2}, & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

where

$$Q(pL,\omega) = \left[\frac{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}{|Y(pL,\omega)|^2}\right]^{1/2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Requirements: (a) Estimation of Ŝ<sub>b</sub>(ω), and (b) a masking threshold curve on each frame T(pL,ω).

#### Approach 2

- From y[n] = x[n] + b[n] go to d[n] = x[n] + ab[n]
- If  $h_s[n]$  is the impulse response of the suppression filter, then the noise error is:

$$ab[n] - h_s[n] \star b[n]$$

with short-time power spectrum:

$$\hat{S}_e(pL,\omega) = |H_s(pL,\omega) - a|^2 \hat{S}_b(\omega)$$

• Constraint:

$$|H_s(pL,\omega)-a|^2 \hat{S}_b(\omega) < T(pL,\omega)$$

or:

$$a - \sqrt{\frac{T(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}} < H_s(pL,\omega) < a + \sqrt{\frac{T(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}}$$

#### Approach 2

- From y[n] = x[n] + b[n] go to d[n] = x[n] + ab[n]
- If  $h_s[n]$  is the impulse response of the suppression filter, then the noise error is:

$$ab[n] - h_s[n] \star b[n]$$

with short-time power spectrum:

$$\hat{S}_{e}(pL,\omega) = |H_{s}(pL,\omega) - a|^{2}\hat{S}_{b}(\omega)$$

• Constraint:

$$|H_s(pL,\omega)-a|^2\hat{S}_b(\omega) < T(pL,\omega)$$

or:

$$a - \sqrt{\frac{T(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}} < H_s(pL,\omega) < a + \sqrt{\frac{T(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}}$$

#### Approach 2

- From y[n] = x[n] + b[n] go to d[n] = x[n] + ab[n]
- If  $h_s[n]$  is the impulse response of the suppression filter, then the noise error is:

$$ab[n] - h_s[n] \star b[n]$$

with short-time power spectrum:

$$\hat{S}_{e}(pL,\omega) = |H_{s}(pL,\omega) - a|^{2}\hat{S}_{b}(\omega)$$

• Constraint:

$$|H_s(pL,\omega)-a|^2 \hat{S}_b(\omega) < T(pL,\omega)$$

or:

$$a - \sqrt{\frac{T(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}} < H_s(pL,\omega) < a + \sqrt{\frac{T(pL,\omega)}{\hat{S}_b(\omega)}}$$

# OUTLINE

#### **I** INTRODUCTION

#### 2 Preliminaries

- Problem Formulation
- Spectral Subtraction
- Cepstral Mean Subtraction

#### **3** Wiener Filtering

- Estimating the Object Spectrum
- Adaptive smoothing
- Application to Speech
- Optimal Spectral Magnitude Estimation
- Binaural Representation
- 4 Model-Based Processing
- **5** Auditory Masking
  - Frequency-Domain Masking Principles
  - Calculation of the Masking Threshold
  - Exploiting Frequency Masking in Noise Reduction

6 Acknowledgments

Most, if not all, figures in this lecture are coming from the book:

# **T. F. Quatieri:** Discrete-Time Speech Signal Processing, principles and practice 2002, Prentice Hall

and have been used after permission from Prentice Hall

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >