Branch Prediction
Branch Prediction

- Prediction is now necessary to achieve good performance. Why?

\[
\text{CPI} = \text{Ideal CPI} + \text{Structural stalls} + \text{RAW stalls} + \text{WAR stalls} + \text{WAW stalls} + \text{Control stalls}
\]

- Significant in out-of-order or multi-issue processors (Amdahl's Law)

- The prediction is a hint that is assumed to be correct, and fetching begins in the predicted direction. If the hint turns out to be wrong, the executed (not committed) instructions from the wrong path are cancelled.

- We will study the following topics: branch prediction, branch target address, cancel branch misprediction
Πρόβλεψη αποτελέσματος

• Γιατί δουλεύει η πρόβλεψη?
  – Underlying algorithm has regularities.
  – Data that is being operated on has regularities.
  – Instruction sequence has redundancies that are artifacts of way that humans/compilers think about problems.
  – Loops are easy to predict their behaviour
• **Major limitation:** misprediction rate for the integer programs is high
Dynamic Branch Prediction

• Είναι η δυναμική πρόβλεψη καλύτερη από την στατική;
  - Έτσι φαίνεται

  - Josh Fisher had good paper on “Predicting Conditional Branch Directions from Previous Runs of a Program”, ASPLOS ‘92.

  - In general, good results if allowed to run program for lots of data sets.
    o How would this information be stored for later use?
    o Still some difference between best possible static prediction (using a run to predict itself) and weighted average over many different data sets
Simplest Dynamic Approach: Branch History Table

• Performance = $f(\text{accuracy}, \text{cost of misprediction})$

• Branch History Table (BHT): Lower bits of PC index 1-bit table
  - Specifies if branch was taken or not the last time
  - When branch delay is longer than time to compute target PC
**ΒΗΤ: Πρόβλημα;**

Loop: LD F0,0(R1); F0=vector element  
ADDD F4,F0,F2; add scalar from F2  
SD 0(R1),F4; store result  
SUBI R1,R1,8; decrement pointer 8B (DW)  
BNEZ R1,Loop; branch R1!=zero  
NOP; delayed branch slot

• Πρόβλημα → σε loop, 1-bit ΒΗΤ προκαλεί 2 mispredictions  
  – first time through loop code, when it predicts exit instead of looping  
  – end of loop case, when it predicts looping instead of exit
2-bit Prediction Scheme (Jim Smith, 1981)

- Λύση → 2-bit scheme αλλάζει πρόβλεψη μόνο αν γίνει λάθος πρόβλεψη 2 φορές:
- Καφέ: taken
- Μπλε: not taken
- Προσθέτει hysteresis στην πρόβλεψη
- Also known as saturation counter or bimodal predictor
n-bit Predictors

• n-bit scheme αλλάζει πρόβλεψη αν γίνει λάθος πρόβλεψη $2^{n-1}$ φορές.

• Χρήση n-bit counter

• Μελέτες των n-bit predictors έχουν δείξει ότι οι 2-bit predictors είναι πολύ αποδοτικοί:
  - τα περισσότερα συστήματα έχουν 2-bit predictors.
Use of Branch History Table

- BHT είναι ένας πίνακας από “Predictors”
  - Usually 2-bit, saturating counters
  - Indexed by PC address of Branch

- Στο ID stage του branch κάνουμε access τον πίνακα. Στο ID stage υπολογίζεται και η διεύθυνση του branch (χρειαζόμαστε 1 delay slot)

- Όταν το branch ολοκληρωθεί
  - Update corresponding Predictor

![Branch PC](image)
2-bit BHT Accuracy

• Mispredictions:
  - Wrong guess for that branch
  - Got branch history of wrong branch (aliasing)
Correlating Predictors (Example 1)

- **Hypothesis:** recent branches correlate. The results of recent branches affect the prediction of the current branch.

**Example in C** (from eqntott benchmark)

```
if (aa==2)
  aa=0;
if (bb==2)
  bb=0;
if (aa != bb) {...}
```

If branches `b1` and `b2` are both not taken (i.e. first two if statements are true) then **b3 will be taken**

**Example in assembly**

```
DSUBUI R3, R1, #2
BNEZ R3, L1     ; branch b1 (aa!=2)
DADD R1, R0, R0 ; aa=0
L1: DSUBUI R3, R2, #2
BNEZ R3, L2     ; branch b2 (bb!=2)
DADD R2, R0, R0 ; bb=0
L2: DSUBUI R3, R1, R2
BEQZ R3, L3     ; R3=aa-bb
```
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Correlating Predictors (Example 2)

Example in C

if (d==0)
    d=1;
if (d==1) {...}

Example in assembly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BNEZ</th>
<th>DADDIU</th>
<th>DADDIU</th>
<th>BNEZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td></td>
<td>R1,L1</td>
<td>R1,R0,#1</td>
<td>;branch b1 (d!=0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d==0, so d=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>DADDIU</td>
<td>R3,R1,#1</td>
<td></td>
<td>;branch b2 (d!=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible execution sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial value of d</th>
<th>d==0?</th>
<th>b1</th>
<th>Value of d before b2</th>
<th>d==1?</th>
<th>b2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>not taken</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>not taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>taken</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>not taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>taken</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>taken</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example: (4,2) Predictor

- (4,2) GHT (Global History Table) predictor
  - 4 means that we keep four bits of history
  - 2 means that we have 2 bit counters in each slot.
  - Then behavior of recent branches selects between, say, 16 predictions of next branch, updating just that prediction
  - Note also that aliasing is possible here...
Correlating Branches

• (k, n) GHT predictor
  - k means that we keep k-bits of history
  - n means that we have n-bit counters in each slot.
  - Note that the original two-bit counter solution would be a (0,2) GAp predictor
  - Συνολική μνήμη:
    - \(2^k \times 2^{\text{addr\_size}} \times n = 2^{k+\text{addr\_size}} \times n\)
  - Trivial amount of additional HW

Each slot is n-bit counter
Accuracy of Different Schemes

4096 Entries 2-bit BHT
Unlimited Entries 2-bit BHT
1024 Entries GHT (2,2)

Frequency of Mispredictions
Yeh and Patt’s classification (ISCA’92)

Taxonomy of two-level branch predictors

- XAy predictor
- X=G, global history register
- X=P, per-branch history register
- X=S, per-set-of-branches history register
- y=g, global branch history table
- y=p, per-branch history table
- y=s, set-associative per branch history table
  - Set-associative mapping of branch PCs reduces conflicts (aliases)
Yeh and Patt’s classification

• First Level:
  - τα k πιο πρόσφατα branches που εκτελέστηκαν οπουδήποτε
    o Produces a “GAy” (for “global address”) in the Yeh and Patt classification

  - τα k πιο πρόσφατα αποτελέσματα του ίδιου branch.
    o Produces a “PAy” (for “per address”) in same classification (e.g. PAg)
Yeh and Patt’s classification

• Second Level:
  - Single entry for any branch “XAg”
  - Per branch history table “XAp”
  - Per set history table “XAs”
    - The set attribute of a branch can be determined by the branch opcode, branch class assigned by a compiler, or branch address.
### Variations of two-level branch predictors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAg</td>
<td>Global Adaptive Branch Prediction using one global pattern history table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAs</td>
<td>Global Adaptive Branch Prediction using per-set (of branch PCs) pattern history tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAp</td>
<td>Global Adaptive Branch Prediction using per-address (of branch PC) pattern history tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAg</td>
<td>Per-address Adaptive Branch Prediction using global pattern history table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAg</td>
<td>Per-Set Adaptive Branch Prediction using global pattern history table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAs</td>
<td>Per-Set Adaptive Branch Prediction using per-set pattern history tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>Per-Set Adaptive Branch Prediction using per-address pattern history tables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yeh and Patt’s classification
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Per-set history predictors
Branch Target Buffer

• Ένα look up table με διευθύνσεις των predicted taken branches. Όταν κάνει match στέλνει το target PC του branch.

• **Optimization:** Store the predicted-taken branches only
Branch Target Buffer

• Ο Branch Target Buffer (BTB) γίνεται access στο IF stage: γλυτώνουμε 1 κύκλο.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IF</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>EX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send PC to memory and BTB</td>
<td>Send out predicted PC if entry found in BTB</td>
<td>Update BTB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruction in buffer</th>
<th>Prediction</th>
<th>Actual branch</th>
<th>Penalty cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>taken</td>
<td>taken</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yes</td>
<td>taken</td>
<td>not taken</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>taken</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no</td>
<td>not taken</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Branch Folding

• Αποθήκευσε μία ή περισσότερες target instructions μαζί με target address στο Branch Target Buffer (BTB).
  - Χρόνος πρόσβασης στον BTB μπορεί να μεγαλώσει.

  - Zero-cycle branches: Αντικατέστησε την branch εντολή με την target instruction.
    o Only for unconditional branches!
Indirect Jumps

- Indirect procedure calls, switch/case statements, gotos. Πλειοψηφία είναι procedure returns.
- Πρόβλημα → accuracy low when procedure is called from multiple sites.
- Λύση → small buffer of return addresses operating as a stack (Return Address Stack). This structure caches the most recent return addresses: pushing a return address on the stack at a call and popping one off at a return.

In SPEC95 benchmarks, procedure returns account for more than 15% of the branches.
Predicated Execution

• Αποφεύγουμε το branch prediction μετατρέποντας τα branches σε conditionally executed instructions:

• if (x) then A = B op C else NOP
  - If false, then neither store result nor cause exception
  - Expanded ISA of Alpha, MIPS, PowerPC, SPARC have conditional move; PA-RISC can annul any following instr.
  - IA-64: 64 1-bit condition fields selected so conditional execution of any instruction

• Convert control dependence to data dependence
  - Reduce branch pressure (reduce pred. table updates)
  - Conditional Move (CMOV) is very common
Predicated Execution

• Drawbacks of conditional instructions
  - Still takes a clock even if “annulled”
  - Stall if condition evaluated late
  - Complex conditions reduce effectiveness; condition becomes known late in pipeline
Dynamic Branch Prediction

• Η πρόβλεψη είναι ιδιαίτερα σημαντική για την απόδοση του συστήματος
  – Prediction is exploiting “information compressibility” in execution

• Branch History Table: 2-bits for loop accuracy

• Correlation: Recently executed branches correlated with next branch
  – Either different branches (GA)
  – Or different executions of same branches (PA).

• Branch Target Buffer: include branch address & prediction

• Predicated Execution μπορεί να ελαττώσει των αριθμό των branches και τον αριθμό των λάθος προβλέψεων