Class and Method Design

High level business requirements described in the system request

Requirements determination

Detailed list of more precise requirements

Modeling

Functional/Structural/Behavioral modeling of the system

Class and Method Design

- Data Management Layer Design
- Human Computer Interaction Design
- Physical Architecture Layer Design

Analysis

Design
Class and Method Design: Motivation

One of the most important steps in the design phase is the design of classes and methods. Analysts should create instructions and guidelines for programmers that clearly describe what the system must do.

Why to design (in more detail) classes and methods?

- Some persons say that with reusable class libraries and off-the-shelf components, low level detailed design is a waste of time, so we should directly start coding.
- However, experience shows that detailed design is useful despite the reusable class libraries:
  - even pre-existing classes and components need to be understood, organized and pieced together properly
  - the team will probably have to create its own classes for the application logic of the system
What could go wrong without careful design?

- Objects will not be able to communicate **correctly**, so the functioning of the system will not be correct.
- A not careful use of layers may introduce communication **overhead** that make the system very inefficient.
- A change at a part of the system may require **changing** too many other things.

The importance of problem domain classes

- We have already designed the structure and the interaction of the classes of the domain model.
- The classes of the other layers (system architecture, HCI, data management) will be dependent on the problem domain layer.
- So, it is important to design correctly the problem domain classes.
What is detailed class and method design?

- Structural modeling and behavioural modeling (that we discussed in the previous lectures) is indeed class and method design
- **So what we should do more?**
- We should ask ourselves questions of the form:
  - Are all of the classes necessary?
  - Are there any missing classes?
  - Are the classes fully defined?
  - Are there any missing attributes or methods?
  - Do the classes have any unnecessary attributes and methods?
  - Is there any inheritance conflict?
  - Is there any inefficiency in the design, and how we could fix it?
  - Can we map the class diagram to the programming language that will be used in the project?
  - How we can reuse code?

Detailed Design Activities

Apart from the above we should:
- Check that nothing is missing from the domain model
- Finalize the visibility of the attributes and methods in each class
- Decide on the signature of every method in every class
- Define constraints that must be preserved by the objects
Encapsulation
- Hiding the content of the object from outside view
- Communication only through object’s methods
- Key to reusability

Polymorphism
- Same message triggers different methods in different objects
- Dynamic binding means specific method is selected at run time
- Implementation of dynamic binding is language specific
- Need to be very careful about run time errors
- Need to ensure semantic consistency

Inheritance
- Single inheritance -- one parent class
- Multiple inheritance -- multiple parent classes
- Inheritance conflict

There are 3 perspectives for the design of a class diagram (of a conceptual model in general)

- **Conceptual**
  - Independent of implementation. This is often called **domain model**.

- **Specification**
  - Based on **interfaces of the software**, not the implementation

- **Implementation**
  - Here we model the **implementation classes**.

Design Criteria
Design Criteria

A good design is one that balances trade-offs to minimize the total cost of the system over its lifetime [Yourdon'91]

General Design Criteria

[A] Coupling (σύζευξη)
[B] Cohesion (συνεκτικότητα / συνοχή)

We have already discussed coupling and cohesion in the context of layering/packaging (Lecture 12)

Coupling and Cohesion

- **Coupling**: measures how *interdependent* are the modules (classes, objects, methods) of the system
- **Cohesion**: measures how single-minded is a module (class, object, method) within a system
  - (single-minded ~ προσηλωμένος σε στόχο)

Aspects of coupling and cohesion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupling</th>
<th>Cohesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalization</td>
<td>generalization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
[A] **Coupling**

- The higher the interdependence the more likely changes in a part of the design will require changing other parts of the design
- So we would like to minimize it

Types of coupling
- Interaction coupling
- Inheritance coupling

---

**Coupling > Interaction**

Interaction coupling concerns *message passing*

**Law of Demeter** [Lieberherr & Holland 89]
- minimize the number of objects that can receive messages from a given object
- an object should send a message to:
  - itself
  - an object that is contained in an attribute of the object (or one of its superclasses)
  - an object that is passed as a parameter to a method
  - an object that is created by the method
  - an object that is stored in a global variable
### 6 types of Interaction Coupling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coupling Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No direct coupling</strong></td>
<td>The methods do not call one another</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data</strong></td>
<td>The calling method passes a variable to the called method. If the variable is an object, the entire object is used by the called method to perform its function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stamp</strong></td>
<td>The calling method passes a composite variable to the called method, but the called method only uses a portion of the object to perform its function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td>The calling method passes a control variable whose value will control the execution of the called method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common or Global</strong></td>
<td>The methods refer to a “global data area” that is outside the individual objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content or Pathological</strong></td>
<td>A method of one object refers to the inside (hidden parts) of another object. This violates the principles of encapsulation (C++ allows this with “friends”)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Dennis et al. 2005

### Coupling Interaction: Guidelines

- We should try to minimize it
- **Exception:**
  - sometimes non-problem domain classes must be coupled with domain classes
    - e.g. UIPerson can be coupled to Person
      - for optimization the UIPerson could be pathologically coupled to Person class
  - **However, problem domain classes must never be coupled to non-problem domain classes**
**Coupling > Inheritance**

Concerns the classes within one generalization/specialization hierarchy

• Some developers believe that high coupling is not a bad thing. Others believe that high coupling is not good (due to the various inheritance conflicts)

• Related questions
  – _should a method defined in a subclass be able to call a method of the superclass?_
  – _should a method defined in a subclass refer to an attribute of the superclass?_

• This of course depends on the PL

• **Guideline:**
  – ensure inheritance is used only to support generalization/specialization semantics (i.e. subset) and the principle of substitutability

---

**[B] Cohesion**

• **Cohesion**: measures how single-minded a module (class, object, method) is within a system

We should try to maximize it

Types of Cohesion

– Method cohesion
– Class cohesion
– Generalization/specialization cohesion
A method should solve a **single task**

- A method performing multiple functions is more difficult to understand and reuse

7 types of method cohesion:

- **Functional**
  - A method performs one single task
- **Sequential**
  - The method combines two functions: the output from the first is used as input to the second
- **Communicational**
  - The method combines two functions that use the same attributes to execute
- **Procedural**
  - The method supports multiple weakly related functions
- **Temporal or Classical**
  - The method supports multiple related functions in time (e.g. initialize all attributes)
- **Logical**
  - The method supports multiple related functions but the choice of the specific function is chosen based on a control variable that is passed as parameter
- **Coincidental**
  - The method supports multiple unrelated functions

Adapted from Dennis et al. 2005

A class should represent one thing (e.g. person, car, department)

- All attributes and methods of a class should be required for the class to represent one thing
- No redundant attributes should exist
- The Cohesion of a class is the degree of cohesion between the attributes and the methods of a class

Guidelines [G. Meyers 78]

- a class should contain multiple methods that are visible outside of the class and that each visible method only performs a single function (i.e. functional cohesion)
- a class should have methods that only refer to attributes or other methods defined with the class or its superclasses
- a class should not have any control-flow couplings between its methods
4 types of **Class Cohesion**

- **Ideal**
  - The class has no mixed cohesions

- **Mixed-Role**
  - The class has one or more attributes that relate objects of the class to other objects on the same layer (e.g. the problem domain layer), but the attribute(s) have nothing to do with the underlying semantics of the class

- **Mixed-Domain**
  - The class has one or more attributes that relate objects of the class to other objects on a different layer. So these attributes have nothing to do with the underlying semantics of the thing that the class represents.

- **Mixed-Instance**
  - The class represents different types of objects meaning that different instances only use a portion of the full definition of the class. The class should be decomposed into separate classes.

Adapted from Dennis et al. 2005

---

**Example: Method vs Class Cohesion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>telephone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roomNumber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roomLength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roomWidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calculateRoomSpace()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High method cohesion but low class cohesion
Cohesion > Generalization/Specialization

- How are the classes in the inheritance hierarchy related?
- Are they related through a generalization/specialization semantics?
- Or they are related for simple reuse purposes?
- To what degree a subclass actually needs the features it inherits?

Low Inheritance Cohesion

Example: Restructuring for satisfying LSP

Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)
- In a class hierarchy it should be possible to treat a specialized object as if it were a base object
Example (1/3)

class Rectangle {
public:
    void setWidth(double w) {itsWidth=w;}
    void setHeight(double h){itsHeight=h;}
    double getArea() {return itsHeight * itsWidth;}
private:
    double itsWidth;
    double itsHeight;
};

class Square: public Rectangle {
    ...
};

void Square::setWidth(double w) {
    Rectangle::setWidth(w);
    Rectangle::setHeight(w);
};

void Square::setHeight(double w) {
    Rectangle::setWidth(w);
    Rectangle::setHeight(w);
};

Example (2/3)

void f(Rectangle* r) {
    r->setWidth(32);
}

Violation of LSP:
Function f will not function correctly if r is a square

We could fix this problem by allowing polymorphism

class Rectangle {
public:
    virtual void setWidth(double w) {itsWidth=w;}
    virtual void setHeight(double h){itsHeight=h;}
    double getArea() {return itsHeight * itsWidth;}
private:
    double itsWidth;
    double itsHeight;
};
Example (3/3)

```c
void g(Rectangle* r)
{
    r->setWidth(5);
    r->setHeight(4);
    assert(r->getArea()==20);
}
```

It will function correctly if `r` is a rectangle.
It will not function correctly if `r` is square

So `g()` is fragile and it violates LSP

The class Rectangle actually violates an “invariant” of the class Rectangle, specifically the width-height independence.

*This could be expressed using OCL (will be described in the next lecture)*

*It will expressed as a post condition of `setWidth`:
  i.e. the height is the old value of height*

---

Restructuring the Design

Factoring
Optimizing
Translate to Implementation Language
Factoring

Factoring is the process of separating out aspects of a method or class into a new method or class to simplify the overall design.

For example we may realize that some classes of the design share a similar definition. In this case we can factor out the similarities and define a new class. The new class is then related with the existing classes through generalization, aggregation or association.

Optimizing the Design

• There is a trade-off between understandability and efficiency
  – increasing the understandability of a design usually results in inefficiencies
  – focusing only on efficiency usually results in design that is difficult to understand by someone else

• Some ways to improve the efficiency of a design
  – Review Access Paths
  – Review Attributes
  – Use derived attributes when necessary to cache values
  – Review the order of execution of the statements in frequently used methods (this is “Method Design” described later)
Optimizing the Design
Reviewing the Access Paths

If a message has to traverse a long path and such calls occur very frequently, it is better to create a redundant direct connection:

```
A → B → C → D → E → F → G
```

Optimizing the Design
Reviewing the Attributes

If attribute a2 of B is used only by the methods setA2 and getA2 and if only class A uses these 2 methods, then a2 should be probably placed at A:

```
A → B
```

```
A
```

```
B
```

```
a2
```

```
setA2(val)
```

```
getA2()
```

```
A
```

```
a2
```

```
B
```
Parenthesis: AND/XOR for Relationships (also for associations in class diagrams)

- Orders either order a part, or request a service. Not both.

- For any given order, whenever there is at least one invoice there is also at least one shipment and vice versa.

Mapping Problem Domain Classes to Implementation Languages
Mapping Problem Domain Classes to Implementation Languages

- Multiple Inheritance Conflicts

- Eliminating Multiple Inheritance
  - If the implementation will be done in a PL that does not support multiple inheritance, then the multiple inheritance must be factored out from our class diagrams

- Eliminating Inheritance
  - If the implementation will be done in a PL that does not support inheritance, we have to map our class diagrams to constructs that can be implemented within that PL. For example, Visual Basic 6 does not support inheritance

- Handling Multiple and Dynamic Classification

Inheritance in PLs

- Different PLs address inheritance differently
  - so we should know the PL that is going to be used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>C++</th>
<th>Eiffel</th>
<th>Java</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>multiple inheritance</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The accessibility of inherited properties also depends on the PL.
  - In UML, visibility (private, public, protected) applies to methods and attributes
  - Let A be a class with some private and public attributes and methods.
  - Let B be a class defined as a subclass of class A.
  - What B inherits?
  - In Java, we can answer this question right away.
  - In C++, we should see how B has been declared as subclass of A
    - C++ allows visibility at the class level.
In C++, class B may have been defined as:

- class B: public A
- class B: protected A
- class B: private A

**Accessibility rules (C++)**
- The private properties of A are not visible to class B objects (in every case)
- If base class A is defined as public, the visibility of inherited properties does not change in derived class B (public are still public and protected are still protected)
- If base class A is defined as protected, the visibility of inherited public properties changes in derived class B to protected
- If base class A is defined as private, the visibility of inherited public and protected properties changes in derived class B to private.

---

**Inheritance Conflicts: Multiple Inheritance**

1. Two (or more) attributes (or methods) have the **same name and semantics**
2. Two (or more) attributes (or methods) have the **same name but different semantics** (homonyms)
3. Two (or more) attributes (or methods) have **different names but identical semantics** (synonyms)

![Diagram](image)

Here we could be in case [1] or [2]
Inheritance Conflicts: Multiple Inheritance

[1] Two (or more) attributes (or methods) inherited from different superclasses have the same name and semantics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Robot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-name</td>
<td>-name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-salary</td>
<td>-fuel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Robot-Employee

[2] Two (or more) attributes (or methods) have the same name but different semantics (homonyms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee</th>
<th>Robot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-phone</td>
<td>-phone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Robot-Employee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-year</td>
<td>-degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-department</td>
<td>-department</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TeachingAssistant

Phone ≠ Phone (of technician)

A student of CSD may be assistant to a course of the Math. Dep.
Inheritance Conflicts: Multiple Inheritance

[3] Two (or more) attributes (or methods) inherited from different superclasses have different names but they have identical semantics (synonyms)

Employee
- Name

Robot
- Nickname

Employee
- id
- salary
- speciality

Robot
- serialNum
- fuel
- type

Name <=> Nickname

Id <=> serialNum
speciality <=> type

Eliminating Multiple Inheritance
Eliminating Multiple Inheritance:  
Method 1: Flatten the Extra Superclasses

Flattening the inheritance by copying the attributes and methods of the additional superclass(es) to all of the subclasses and remove the additional superclasses from the design.

Eliminating Multiple Inheritance:  
Method 2: Convert the Extra Superclasses to Associations

Convert the extra superclass(es) to associations with the appropriate multiplicity.

Assumption: sB can be either concrete or abstract.

Is everything ok?
Eliminating Multiple Inheritance:
Method 2: Convert the Extra Superclasses to Associations (II)

Assumption: sB can be either concrete or abstract.

Method 1 versus Method 2

Method 2
+ : the domain class (sB) is preserved
- : increases message passing and we have to keep the XOR constraint (computationally more expensive)

Hint: Use method 2 only if the extra superclass (sB) is concrete (not abstract). Otherwise use method 1
Eliminating Inheritance

Method 1: Flattening

Assuming sA, sB and sC are abstract
Eliminating Inheritance: Method 2: Convert all Superclasses to Associations

Assuming sA, sB and sC are abstract

Assuming sA, sB and sC are concrete
Multiple Classification

Multiple classification and conflicts

• Nixon is a Quaker.
• Quakers are typically pacifists.
• Nixon is a Republican.
• Republicans are typically not pacifists.
• What to conclude?
  * “Nixon is a pacifist.” or
  * “Nixon is not a pacifist.”?

Nixon

instanceOf

Quaker
pacifist:Yes

Republican
pacifist:No
Multiple Classification

Suppose that according to the domain model:
A person part of the flight crew can also be a passenger.
Occasionally the flight crew can work as ticket agent.

To implement this in Java we would need multiple and dynamic classification
(which is not supported).

Solution 1: To overcome multiple classification, create “join” classes

We need to define an exponential number of classes.
And still we will not be able to support dynamic classification.

Multiple Classification (II)

Suppose that according to the domain model:
A person part of the flight crew can also be a passenger.
Occasionally the flight crew can work as ticket agent.

Solution 2: Delegation

- Delegation is a way to extend a class’s behavior without using inheritance.
- It is useful when multiple and dynamic classification is not possible in the PL.
For homework

Method Specification
Method Specification

- Objective: give enough detail for the programmers
- There is no standard format for this
- Some organizations use forms of the following format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method Name:</th>
<th>Class Name:</th>
<th>ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programmer:</td>
<td>Date due:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming Language:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggers/Events:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arguments Received:
- Data Type: Notes:

Messages Sent and Arguments Passed:
- ClassName.MethodName: DataType: Notes:

Arguments Returned:
- Data Type: Notes:

Algorithm Specification:

Notes:

---

Method Specification

- E.g. event-driven programming
- Recall behavioural modeling
- Pseudocode
- Structured English
- Activity Diagram
**Constraints and Contracts**

- A set of constraints and guarantees for classes and methods.
- We could express constraints using:
  - natural language,
  - structured English,
  - pseudocode,
  - or a formal language.

**Example Questions**:
- Can a minor (underage) work for a company?
- Can a company hire a person already hired?
- Can a promotion lower the salary of an employee?
Constraints and Contracts

• The designer should decide how to handle a violation of a constraint
  – abort, undo, let user handle it?
  – The designer must design the errors that the system is expected to handle. It is best not to leave these types of problems for the programmer to solve
  – Violations of a constraint are known as exceptions in languages like C++/Java.

• In the next lecture we will see a formal language (called OCL) for expressing constraints
Identifying Opportunities for Reuse

We could exploit:

- **Frameworks**
- **Class libraries**
  - frameworks tend to be more domain specific. Frameworks may be implemented using class libraries
- **Design Patterns**

### Frameworks

- Is a set of implemented classes that can be used as the basis for implementing the system
- Most frameworks allow you to create subclasses
- Frameworks like CORBA and DCOM can be used to specify the physical architecture layer of the system
- Object-persistence frameworks can be used to add persistence to the problem domain classes and thus specify the data management layer of the system.
Identifying Opportunities for Reuse

Design patterns

A pattern is a commonly occurring reusable piece in software system that provides a certain set of functionality.

- Using patterns in modeling of systems helps in keeping design standardized and more importantly, minimizes the reinventing of the wheel in the system design.

- How design patterns relate to UML?
  - The patterns need to be captured and documented in a sufficiently descriptive manner so that they can be referred for future use.

  - UML provides the perfect tools to do just this. The class diagram in UML can be used to capture the patterns identified in a system. In addition, UML has a sufficiently extensive and expressive vocabulary to capture the details of patterns.
Categorizing Patterns

Based on how they are to be used, patterns are primarily categorized as:

• **Creational**
  – They define mechanisms for instantiating objects. The implementation of the creational pattern is responsible for managing the lifecycle of the instantiated object.
  – Examples: Factory, Singleton

• **Structural**
  – They define compositions of objects and their organization to obtain new and varied functionality.
  – Examples: Adapter, Proxy.

• **Behavioral**
  – They define interaction between different objects.
  – Examples: Command, Iterator

Behavioral Patterns

**Command**

Encapsulate commands in objects so that you control their selection and sequencing, queue them, and otherwise manipulate them

Example:

*Design of a Word Processor that supports undoing and redoing commands*

```
AbstractCommand
  doIt()
  undoIt()
```

```
InsertStringCommand
  «constructor»
  InsertStringCommand(position:Int, length:int)
  «misc»
  doIt()
  undoIt()

DeleteCommand
  «constructor»
  DeleteCommand(position:Int, length:int)
  «misc»
  doIt()
  undoIt()
```

...
Behavioral Patterns> **Command**

Design of a Word Processor supporting Undo and Redo

- materialize each command as an object with do and undo methods.
- when the user tells the WP to do something instead of performing the command,
  - it creates a new object using the appropriate constructor (e.g., an `InsertStringCommand` object)
  - it then calls the object's doIt method to execute the command
- The WP also puts the command object in a data structure that allow the WP to maintain a history of what commands have been executed. This allows the WP to undo commands in the reverse order that they were issued by calling their undo methods.

```
public abstract class AbstractCommand{
    public final static CommandManager manager = new CommandManager();
    public abstract boolean doIt();  // returns True if successful
    public abstract boolean undoIt();
}
```

```
public abstract class ConcreteCommand{
    public void doIt() {
        // implementation
    }
    public void undoIt() {
        // implementation
    }
}
```
Behavioral Patterns: Command
Design of a Word Processor supporting Undo and Redo (2)

```
class InsertStringCommand extends AbstractCommand{
    InsertStringCommand(Document doc, int position, String str){
        this.document = document;
        this.position = position;
        this.str = str;
        manager.invokeCommand(this);
    }
    public boolean doIt(){
        try{
            document.insertStringCommand(position, str);
        } catch (Exception e){
            return false;
        }
        return true;
    }
}
```

Behavioral Patterns: Command
Design of a Word Processor supporting Undo and Redo (3)

```
interface Undo {
    }
interface Redo{
    }

private void addToHistory(AbstractCommand command){
    history.addFirst(command);
    if (history.size() > maxHistoryLength) {
        history.removeLast();
    }
}
```
Behavioral Patterns - Command

Design of a Word Processor supporting Undo and Redo (4)

Command Manager

```java
private void undo()
{
    if (history.size() > 0) {
        AbstractCommand undoCommand;
        undoCommand = (AbstractCommand) history.removeFirst();
        undoCommand.undoIt();
        redoList.addFirst(undoCommand);
    }
}

private void redo()
{
    if (redoList.size() > 0) {
        AbstractCommand redoCommand;
        redoCommand = (AbstractCommand) redoList.removeFirst();
        redoCommand.doIt();
        history.addFirst (redoCommand);
    }
}
```

Design Patterns

- Design patterns is a useful mechanism to document and learn about common reusable design approaches.
- Design patterns can reduce the designing time for building systems and ensure that the system is consistent and stable in terms of architecture and design.
- The UML class diagrams provide an easy way to capture and document Design patterns.

- Some UML tools support design patterns.
  - They have a pre-built catalog of well-known design patterns. The design patterns can be easily pulled in into your design as templates and then customized for your application design.

More on patterns at CS352 - Software Engineering
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