Java performance Reducing time and space consumption

Peter Sestoft (sestoft@dina.kvl.dk)

Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Copenhagen, Denmark and IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Version 1.0 of 2003-03-31

Abstract: We give some advice on improving the execution of Java programs by reducing their time and space (memory) consumption. There are no magic tricks, only advice on common problems to avoid.

1 Reducing time consumption

1.1 Standard code optimizations

Do not expect the Java compiler (such as javac or jikes) to perform many clever optimizations. Due to Java's rather strict sequencing and thread semantics there is little the compiler can safely do to improve a Java program, in contrast to compilers for less strictly defined languages such as C or Fortran. But you can improve your Java source code yourself.

• Move loop-invariant computations out of loops. For example, avoid repeatedly computing the loop bound in a for-loop, like this:

for (int i=0; i<size()*2; i++) { ... }</pre>

Instead, compute the loop bound only once and bind it to a local variable, like this:

```
for (int i=0, stop=size()*2; i<stop; i++) { ... }</pre>
```

• Do not compute the same subexpression twice:

```
if (birds.elementAt(i).isGrower()) ...
if (birds.elementAt(i).isPullet()) ...
```

Instead, compute the subexpression once, bind the result to a variable, and reuse it:

```
Bird bird = birds.elementAt(i);
if (bird.isGrower()) ...
if (bird.isPullet()) ...
```

• Every array access requires an index check, so it is worth-while to reduce the number of array accesses. Moreover, usually the Java compiler cannot automatically optimize indexing into multidimensional arrays. For instance, every iteration of the inner (j) loop below recomputes the indexing rowsum[i] as well as the indexing arr[i] into the first dimension of arr:

```
double[] rowsum = new double[n];
for (int i=0; i<n; i++)
  for (int j=0; j<m; j++)
    rowsum[i] += arr[i][j];
```

Instead, compute these indexings only once for each iteration of the outer loop:

```
double[] rowsum = new double[n];
for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
  double[] arri = arr[i];
  double sum = 0.0;
  for (int j=0; j<m; j++)
    sum += arri[j];
  rowsum[i] = sum;
}
```

Note that the initialization arri = arr[i] does not copy row i of the array; it simply assigns an array reference (four bytes) to arri.

- Declare constant fields as final static so that the compiler can inline them and precompute constant expressions.
- Declare constant variables as final so that the compiler can inline them and precompute constant expressions.
- Replace a long if-else-if chain by a switch if possible; this is much faster.
- If a long if-else-if chain cannot be replaced by a switch (because it tests a String, for instance), and if it is executed many times, it is often worthwhile to replace it by a final static HashMap or similar.
- Nothing (except obscurity) is achieved by using 'clever' C idioms such as performing the entire computation of a while-loop in the loop condition:

```
int year = 0;
double sum = 200.0;
double[] balance = new double[100];
while ((balance[year++] = sum *= 1.05) < 1000.0);</pre>
```

1.2 String manipulation

• Do not build strings by repeated string concatenation; this takes time quadratic in the number of iterations and most likely causes heap fragmentation as well (see Section 2):

```
String s = "";
for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
    s += "#" + i;
}</pre>
```

Instead, use a StringBuffer object and its append method. This takes time linear in the number of iterations, and may be several orders of magnitude faster:

```
StringBuffer sbuf = new StringBuffer();
for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
   sbuf.append("#").append(i);
}
String s = sbuf.toString();</pre>
```

• On the other hand, an expression containing a sequence of string concatenations automatically gets compiled to use StringBuffer.append(...), so this is OK:

String s = "(" + x + ", " + y + ")";

• Do not process strings by repeatedly searching or modifying a String or StringBuffer. Repeated use of methods substring and index from String may be legitimate but should be looked upon with suspicion.

1.3 Arrays and tables of constants

• Declaring an initialized array variable inside a method causes a new array to be allocated at every execution of the method:

```
public static int monthdays(int y, int m) {
    int[] monthlengths =
        { 31, 28, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31 };
    return m == 2 && leapyear(y) ? 29 : monthlengths[m-1];
}
```

Instead, an initialized array variable or similar table should be declared and allocated once and for all as a final static field in the enclosing class:

```
private final static int[] monthlengths =
    { 31, 28, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31, 31, 30, 31, 30, 31 };
public static int monthdays(int y, int m) {
    return m == 2 && leapyear(y) ? 29 : monthlengths[m-1];
}
```

• More complicated initializations can use a static initializer block static { ... } to precompute the contents of an array like this:

```
private final static double[] logFac = new double[100];
static {
  double logRes = 0.0;
  for (int i=1, stop=logFac.length; i<stop; i++)
    logFac[i] = logRes += Math.log(i);
}
public static double logBinom(int n, int k) {
  return logFac[n] - logFac[n-k] - logFac[k];
}
```

The static initializer is executed when the enclosing class is loaded. In this example it precomputes a table logFac of logarithms of the factorial function $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (n-1) \cdot n$, so that method logBinom(n,k) can efficiently compute the logarithm of a binomial coefficient. For instance, the number of ways to choose 7 cards out of 52 is Math.exp(logBinom(52, 7)) = 133 784 560.

1.4 Fields and variables

- Access to local variables and parameters in a method is much faster than access to static or instance fields. For a field accessed in a loop, it may be worthwhile to copy the field's value to a local variable before the loop, and refer only to the local variable inside the loop.
- There is no runtime overhead for declaring variables inside nested blocks or loops in a method. It usually improves clarity to declare variables as locally as possible (with as small a scope as possible), and this may even help the compiler improve your program.

1.5 Methods

- Declaring a method as private, final, or static makes calls to it faster. Of course, you should only do this when it makes sense in the application.
- For instance, often an accessor method such as getSize can reasonably be made final in a class, when there would be no point in overriding it in a subclass:

```
class Foo {
  private int size;
  ...
  public final int getSize() {
    return size;
  }
}
```

This can make a call o.getSize() just as fast as a direct access to a public field o.size. Hence there need not be any performance penalty for proper encapsulation (making fields private).

• Virtual method calls (to instance methods) are very fast and should be used instanceof tests and casts.

• In modern Java Virtual Machine implementations, such as Sun's HotSpot JVM and IBM's JVM, interface method calls are just as fast as virtual method calls to instance methods. Hence there is no performance penalty for maintenance-friendly programming, using interfaces instead of their implementing classes for method parameters and so on.

1.6 Sorting and searching

- Never use selection sort, bubblesort or insertion sort, except on very short arrays or lists. Use heapsort (for arrays) or mergesort (for doubly linked lists) or quicksort (for arrays; but you must make a good choice of pivot element).
- Even better, use the built-in sorting routines, which are guaranteed to be fast: $O(n \log(n))$ time for *n* elements, and sometimes faster if the data are nearly sorted already:

For arrays, use java.util.Arrays.sort, which is an improved quicksort; it uses no additional memory, but is not *stable* (does not preserve the order of equal elements). There are overloaded versions for all primitive types and for objects.

For ArrayList and LinkedList, which implement interface java.util.List, use java.util.Collections.sort, which is *stable* (preserves the order of equal elements) and *smooth* (near-linear time for nearly sorted lists) but uses additional memory.

- Avoid linear search in arrays and lists, except when you know that they are very short. If your program needs to look up something frequently, use one of these approaches:
 - Binary search on sorted data:

For arrays, use java.util.Arrays.binarySearch. The array must be sorted, as if by java.util.Arrays.sort. There are overloaded versions for all primitive types and for objects.

For ArrayList, use java.util.Collections.binarySearch. The ArrayList must be sorted, as if by java.util.Collections.sort.

If you need also to insert or remove elements from the set or map, use one of the approaches below instead.

- Hashing: Use HashSet or HashMap from package java.util if your key objects have a good hash function hashCode. This is the case for String and the wrapper classes Integer, Double, ..., for the primitive types.
- *Binary search trees*: Use TreeSet or TreeMap from package java.util if your key objects have a good comparison function compareTo. This is the case for String and the wrapper classes Integer, Double, ..., for the primitive types.

1.7 Collection classes

Java's collection classes in package java.util.* are well-designed and well-implemented. Using these classes can improve the speed of your program considerably, but you must beware of a few pitfalls.

- If you use HashSet or HashMap, make sure that your key objects have a good (uniform) and fast hashCode method, and that it agrees with the equals method.
- If you use TreeSet or TreeMap, make sure that your key objects have a good and fast compareTo method; or provide a Comparator object explicitly when creating the TreeSet or TreeMap.
- Beware that indexing into a LinkedList is not a constant-time operation. Hence this takes time quadratic in the size of the list lst if it is a LinkedList, and should not be used:

```
int size = lst.size();
for (int i=0; i<size; i++)
   System.out.println(lst.get(i));
```

Instead, use an Iterator. Then the traversal takes linear time:

```
final Iterator iter = lst.iterator();
while (iter.hasNext())
    System.out.println(iter.next());
```

- Repeated calls to remove(Object o) on LinkedList or ArrayList should be avoided; it performs a linear search.
- Repeated calls to add(int i, Object o) or remove(int i) on LinkedList should be avoided, except when i is at the *end or beginning* of the LinkedList; it performs a linear search for the i'th element.
- Repeated calls to add(int i, Object o) or remove(int i) on ArrayList should be avoided, except when i is at the *end* of the ArrayList; it needs to move all elements after i.
- Preferably avoid the legacy collection classes Vector, Hashtable, and Stack in which all methods are synchronized, and every method call has a runtime overhead for obtain a lock on the collection. (If you do need a synchronized collection, create one using synchronizedCollection and similar methods from class java.util.Collection).
- The collection classes can store only reference type data, so a primitive type value (int, double, ...) must be wrapped as Integer, Double, ... object before it can be stored or used as a key in a collection. This takes time and space and may be unacceptable in memory-constrained embedded applications. Note that strings and arrays are reference type data and need not be wrapped.

1.8 Input and output

• Using buffered input and output (BufferedReader, BufferedWriter, BufferedInput-Stream, BufferedOutputStream from package java.io) can speed up input/output by a factor of 20. • Using the compressed streams ZipInputStream and ZipOutputStream from package java.util.zip may speed up the input and output of verbose data formats such as XML. Compression and decompression takes CPU time, but the compressed data may be so much smaller than the uncompressed data that it saves time anyway, because less data must be read from disk or network. In any case, it saves space on disk.

1.9 Exceptions

- The creation new Exception(...) of an exception object builds a stack trace, which is costly in time and space, and especially so in deeply recursive method calls. The creation of an object of class Exception or a subclass of Exception may be between 30 and 100 times slower than creation of an ordinary object. On the other hand, using a try-catch block or throwing an exception is fast.
- Thus you should create an exception object only if you actually intend to throw it. Also, do not use exceptions to implement control flow (end of data, termination of loops); use exceptions only to signal errors and exceptional circumstances (file not found, illegal input format, and so on). If your program *does* need to throw exceptions very frequently, reuse a single pre-created exception object.

1.10 Space and object creation

- If your program uses too much space (memory), it will also use too much time: Object allocation and garbage collection take time, and using too much memory leads to poor cache utilization and possibly even the need to use virtual memory (disk instead of RAM). Moreover, depending on the JVM's garbage collector, using much memory may lead to long collection pauses, which can be irritating in interactive systems and catastrophic in real-time applications.
- Object creation takes time (allocation, initialization, garbage collection), so do not unnecessarily create objects. However, do not introduce object pools (in factory classes) unless absolutely necessary. Most likely, you will just add code and maintenance problems, and your object pool may introduce subtle errors by recycling an object in the pool although it is still being referred to and modified from other parts of the program.
- Be careful that you do not create objects that are never used. For instance, it is a common mistake to build an error message string that is never actually used, because the exception in which the message is embedded gets caught by a try-catch that ignores the message.
- GUI components (created by AWT or Swing) may claim much space and may not be deallocated aggressively enough. Do not create GUI components that you do not necessarily need.

1.11 Reflection

- A reflective method call, reflective field access, and reflective object creation (using package java.lang.reflect) are far slower than ordinary method call, field access, and object creation.
- Access checks may further slow down such reflective calls; some of this cost may be avoided by declaring the class of the called method to be public. This has been seen to speed up reflective calls by a factor of 8.

1.12 Compiler and execution platform

- As mentioned above, a Java compiler cannot perform many of the optimizations that a C or Fortran compiler can. On the other hand, a just-in-time (JIT) compiler in the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) that executes the bytecode can perform many optimizations that a traditional compiler cannot perform.
- For example, a test (x instanceof C) conditionally followed by a cast (C) x may be optimized by a JVM so that at most one test is performed. Hence it is not worth the trouble to rewrite your program to avoid either the instanceof test or the cast.
- There are many different Java Virtual Machines (JVMs) with very different characteristics:
 - Sun's HotSpot Client JVM performs some optimizations, but generally prioritizes fast startup over aggressive optimizations.
 - Sun's HotSpot Server JVM (option -server, not available for Microsoft Windows) performs very aggressive optimizations at the expense of a longer startup delay.
 - IBM's JVM performs very aggressive optimizations, comparable to Sun's HotSpot Server JVM.
 - The JVMs in implementations of J2ME (mobile phones) and PersonalJava (some PDAs) do not include JIT compilation and probably perform no optimizations at all. Hence in this case it is even more important that you do as many optimizations as possible in the Java code yourself.
 - I do not know the optimization characteristics of Oracle's JVM, the Kaffe JVM, Intel's Open Runtime Platform, IBM's Jikes RVM, ...

You can see what JVM you are using by typing java -version at a command-line prompt.

1.13 Profiling

If a Java program appears to be too slow, try to *profile* some runs of the program. Assume that the example that performs repeated string concatenation in Section 1.2 is in file MyExample.java. Then one can compile and profile it using Sun's HotSpot JVM as follows:

javac -g MyExample.java java -prof MyExample 10000

The result of the profiling is shown on standard output (the console); here is part of it:

Compiled + native Method 59.5% 79 + 128 java.lang.StringBuffer.expandCapacity 0.3% 1 + 0 java.lang.String.getChars Global summary of 40.99 seconds: 100.0% 1682 Received ticks 76.9% 1293 Received GC ticks 0.8% 14 Other VM operations ...

It says that 59 per cent of the *computation time* was spent in StringBuffer, so the culprit is + and += on String, which is compiled into use of StringBuffer. Next it says that 77 per cent of the *total time* was spent in garbage collection. This indicates a serious problem with allocation of too much data (that soon becomes garbage).

2 Reducing space consumption

- In a JVM, data are allocated on a call stack (for method parameters and local variables) and on a heap (for objects, including strings and arrays). There is a separate stack for every thread of execution, and a joint heap for all the threads. The stack of a thread grows and shrinks with the depth of method calls. Object, strings and arrays are allocated in the heap by the executing threads; they are deallocated (garbage-collected) by an autonomous garbage collector.
- Three important aspects of space usage are allocation rate, retention and fragmentation:
 - Allocation rate is the rate at which your program creates new objects, strings, and arrays. A high allocation rate costs time (for allocation, object initialization, and deallocation) and space (because the garbage collector may set aside more memory for efficiency reasons) even when the allocated data has a very short lifetime.
 - *Retention* is the amount of live heap data, that is, the heap data transitively reachable from the call stacks at any point in time. A high retention costs space (obviously) and time (the garbage collector must perform more administrative work both for allocation and deallocation).
 - Fragmentation is the creation of fragments: small unusable chunks of memory. Allocation of increasingly larger objects, such as increasingly longer strings or arrays, may cause memory fragmentation, leaving many small memory fragments that cannot be used. Such fragmentation costs time (to search for a sufficiently large hole at allocation) and space (because the fragments go unused). Most garbage collectors take care to avoid fragmentation, but that itself may cost time and space, and may not be done in embedded JVM implementations.
- A *space leak* is unwanted or unexpected retention, which usually causes memory consumption to grow linearly with execution time. A space leak is caused by objects, strings or arrays being reachable from live variables although those objects will actually never be used again. For instance, this may happen if you cache computation results in a HashMap: the results remain reachable from the HashMap even if you will never need them again. This can be avoided by using a WeakHashMap instead.
- A space leak may be caused by a deeply tail-recursive method that should have been written as a loop. A Java compiler does not automatically optimize a tail-recursive method to a loop, so all data reachable from the execution stack will be retained until the method returns.
- The kind of garbage collector (generational, mark-sweep, reference counting, two-space, incremental, compacting, ...) strongly influences the time and space effects of allocation rate, retention, and fragmentation. However, a functioning garbage collector will never in itself cause a space leak. Space leaks are caused by mistakes in your program.
- Make sure that constant fields shared among all objects of a class are static, so that only one field is ever created. When all Car objects have the same icon, do not do this:

```
public class Car {
   ImageIcon symbol = new ImageIcon("porsche.gif");
   ...
}
```

Instead, do this:

```
public class Car {
  final static ImageIcon symbol = new ImageIcon("porsche.gif");
  ...
}
```

• When you are not sure that an object will actually be needed, then allocate it lazily: postpone its allocation until needed, but allocate it only once. This will unconditionally create a Button for every Car object, although the Button may never be requested by a call to the getButton method:

```
public class Car {
  private Button button = new JButton();
  public Car() {
    ... initialize button ...
  }
  public final JButton getButton() {
    return button;
  }
}
```

Instead, you can allocate the Button lazily in getButton:

```
public class Car {
  private Button button = null;
  public Car() { ... }
  public final JButton getButton() {
    if (button == null) { // button not yet created, so create it
      button = new JButton();
      ... initialize button ...
    }
    return button;
  }
}
```

This saves space (for the Button object) as well as time (for allocating and initializing it). On the other hand, if the button is known to be needed, it is more efficient to allocate and initialize it early and avoid the test in getButton.

3 Other resources

The book J. Noble and C. Weir: *Small Memory Software*, Addison-Wesley 2001, presents a number of design patterns for systems with limited memory. Not all of the advice is applicable to Java (for instance, because it requires pointer arithmetics), but most of it is useful albeit somewhat marred by pattern-speak.